

Gamma Invariants and the Torsion-Freeness of Ext

Ulrich Albrecht

Department of Mathematics, Auburn University
Auburn, AL 36849, USA
albreuf@auburn.edu

Stefan Friedenberg

Fachbereich Mathematik
Universität Duisburg-Essen
45320 Essen, Germany
stefan.friedenberg@uni-duisburg-essen.de

Copyright © 2013 Ulrich Albrecht and Stefan Friedenberg. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract. This paper investigates homological properties of the class *B of Abelian groups A such that $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ is torsion-free. In particular, our results demonstrate that Gamma-invariants cannot be introduced in a meaningful way for *B .

Mathematics Subject Classification: 20K15

Keywords: A-Solvable Group, Flat, Endomorphism Ring

Ascending chains of subgroups have always been an the essential tool in the study of infinite Abelian groups. This was further emphasized by Shelah's solution of the Whitehead problem and a series of related papers concerning the invariants of the divisible group $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ in the case that A and B are torsion-free Abelian groups. In particular, either $\text{Ext}(A, B) = 0$ or $r_0(\text{Ext}(A, B)) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ if A and B are countable. On the other hand, the Ext -group may be torsion-free without vanishing.

To simplify our notation, we denote the class of all torsion-free Abelian groups A such that $\text{Ext}(A, B) = 0$ by ${}^\perp B$, while *B consists of the groups A for which $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ is torsion-free. Clearly, ${}^\perp B \subseteq {}^*B$. Moreover, since ${}^\perp B$ was successfully investigated using Eklof's Γ -invariants [7], it can be expected that these invariants play a similar role in the apparently related discussion of *B . One of the consequences of our discussion is the surprising fact that this is not the case.

To define the Γ -invariant of an Abelian group A of regular cardinality κ with respect to a property \mathcal{P} , write $A = \cup_{\alpha < \kappa} A_\alpha$ where the A_α 's form a smooth ascending chain of subgroups of A with $A_0 = \{0\}$ and $|A_\alpha| < \kappa$ for all α , and consider $S = \{\alpha < \kappa \mid A_\beta/A_\alpha \text{ does not satisfy } \mathcal{P} \text{ for some } \beta > \alpha\}$. The *Gamma-invariant* $\Gamma_{\kappa, \mathcal{P}}(A)$ of A with respect to \mathcal{P} is the equivalence class of S with respect to the intersection with closed and unbounded sets.

Surprisingly, we encounter immediate difficulties when attempting to use these invariants for the property $A \in {}^*B$. Looking at ${}^\perp B$, we realize that its closure with respect to extensions is what enables the use of Γ -invariants. In contrast, *B need not be closed with respect to extensions even in the case $B = \mathbb{Z}$:

Example 1. *If $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0$ represents a non-zero element of $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z})$, then $\text{Ext}(A, \mathbb{Z})$ is not torsion-free.*

Proof. Clearly, $\text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Therefore, the induced sequence

$$0 = \text{Hom}(A, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(A, \mathbb{Z})$$

is exact. If $\text{Ext}(A, \mathbb{Z})$ were torsion-free, then $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})$ would be isomorphic to a pure subgroup of the torsion-free divisible group $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z})$, which is impossible. \square

However, *B is closed with respect to B -cobalanced extensions, where a sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ is B -cobalanced if B is injective with respect to it, i.e. the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow H^* \rightarrow G^* \rightarrow U^* \rightarrow 0$ is exact. Here, the symbol $(\)^*$ denotes one of the contra-variant functors $G^* = \text{Hom}(G, B)$ and $M^* = \text{Hom}_E(M, B)$ between the category of Abelian groups and the category of left E -modules. Moreover, ψ_G is the induced canonical map $G \rightarrow G^{**}$. For details, see [12]. For instance, every sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ with $G \in {}^\perp B$ is B -cobalanced. Unfortunately, there is no direct connection between the groups in a short exact sequence belonging to *B and the sequence being B -cobalanced as the following example shows:

Example 2. a) *There exists group $G_1 \subseteq G_2$ such that G_1 , G_2 and G_2/G_1 belong to ${}^*\mathbb{Z}$, but the sequence $0 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow G_2/G_1 \rightarrow 0$ is not \mathbb{Z} -cobalanced.*
 b) *There exists a group $G \in {}^*\mathbb{Z}$ such that 0 and G are the only \mathbb{Z} -cobalanced subgroups of G .*

Proof. For a), let G be an Abelian group such that $\text{Ext}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is non-zero and torsion-free. A free resolution $0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_2 \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ of G induces the sequence $F_2^* \rightarrow F_1^* \rightarrow \text{Ext}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\text{Ext}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$, the original sequence cannot be \mathbb{Z} -cobalanced. To see b), consider $G = \mathbb{Q}$. \square

We nevertheless obtain the following

Lemma 3. *Let $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} G \xrightarrow{\beta} H \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence of torsion-free Abelian groups.*

- a) *If $G \in {}^*B$, then so is U .*
- b) *If the sequence is a B -cobalanced, then $G \in {}^*B$ if and only if $U, H \in {}^*B$.*

Proof. Consider the induced sequence

$$\text{Ext}(H, B) \xrightarrow{\beta^*} \text{Ext}(G, B) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(U, B) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since H is torsion-free, $\text{im}(\beta^*)$ is divisible. Hence a) holds. Moreover, β^* is one-to-one if the original sequence is B -cobalanced, from which b) follows directly. \square

We say that a torsion-free Abelian group A of (regular) cardinality κ has a B -cobalanced κ -filtration if $A = \cup_{\alpha < \kappa} A_\alpha$ such that $|A_\alpha| < \kappa$ and A_α is B -cobalanced in $A_{\alpha+1}$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$. Furthermore, the group A is B -generated if there exists an exact sequence $\oplus_I B \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$. If A has regular cardinality κ , and $|B| < \kappa$, then A is B -generated if and only if has a κ -filtration of B -generated subgroups.

Before continuing, we want to remind the reader of some terminology. For every Abelian group B with endomorphism ring $E(B)$, we have a pair (H_B, T_B) of adjoint functors between the category of Abelian groups and the category of right $E(B)$ -modules defined by $H_B(G) = \text{Hom}(B, G)$ and $T_B(M) = M \otimes_{E(B)} B$ for all Abelian groups G and all right $E(B)$ -modules M . Associated with these functors are natural maps $\theta_G : T_B H_B(G) \rightarrow G$ and $\phi_M : M \rightarrow H_B T_B(M)$. The category of B -solvable groups consists of all groups G for which θ_G is an isomorphism. Moreover, G is B -projective if it is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\oplus_I B$ for some index-set I . If B is a torsion-free Abelian group of finite rank, then H_B and T_B induce an equivalence between the category of B -projective groups and the category of projective right $E(B)$ -modules. Finally, a torsion-free group of finite rank is a *finitely faithful S -group* if $r_p(E) = [r_p(A)]^2$ where $r_p(G) = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} G/pG$ denotes the p -rank of a torsion-free group G . Arnold showed in [6] that a torsion-free group B of finite rank is a finitely faithful S -group if and only if $B \in {}^*B$. Furthermore, every finitely faithful S -group has a hereditary endomorphism ring.

Theorem 4. *Let B be a torsion-free group.*

- a) *If A is a torsion-free group which has a κ -filtration $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \kappa}$ of subgroups A_α such that $S = \{\alpha < \kappa \mid A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha \notin {}^*B\}$ is not stationary, then $A \in {}^*B$.*

- b) Let B a finitely faithful S -group. A reduced B -generated group A of cardinality \aleph_1 has a B -cobalanced filtration of countable subgroups with factors in *B if and only if A is B -projective.

Proof. a) Since S is not stationary, there is a closed and unbounded subset C of κ with $S \cap C = \emptyset$. Considering the κ -filtration $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in C\}$ of A permits to assume that $A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha \in {}^*B$ for all α . Observe that multiplication by a prime p induces the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(A, B/pB) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}(A, B)$$

where the last map is multiplication by p . Therefore, $\mathrm{Ext}(A, B)$ is torsion-free, once we have shown that the first map in this sequence is onto. To see this, let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}(A, B/pB)$. Suppose that $f|_{A_\alpha} \in \mathrm{Hom}(A_\alpha, B/pB)$ lifts to a map $f_\alpha \in \mathrm{Hom}(A_\alpha, B)$. Since B is injective with respect to $0 \rightarrow A_\alpha \rightarrow A_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha \rightarrow 0$, there is a map $g \in \mathrm{Hom}(A_{\alpha+1}, B)$ with $g|_{A_\alpha} = f_\alpha$. We consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{Hom}(A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha, B) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathrm{Hom}(A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha, B/pB) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \tau & & \downarrow \psi & & \\ \mathrm{Hom}(A_{\alpha+1}, B) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathrm{Hom}(A_{\alpha+1}, B/pB) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathrm{Hom}(A_\alpha, B) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Hom}(A_\alpha, B/pB) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathrm{Ext}(A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha, B) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Ext}(A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha, B/pB) & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

and define a map $\delta : A_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow B/pB$ by $\delta = f|_{A_{\alpha+1}} - \pi g$. Because $\delta|_{A_\alpha} = 0$, we obtain $\delta \in \mathrm{Im}(\psi)$. Since α is onto, there exists a map $h \in \mathrm{Hom}(A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha, B)$ with $\psi(\alpha(h)) = \delta$. Define $\lambda : A_{\alpha+1} \rightarrow B$ by $\lambda = \tau(h)$, and obtain $\pi\lambda = \delta$ and $\lambda|_{A_\alpha} = 0$. Now set $f_{\alpha+1} = g + \lambda$ because of $f_{\alpha+1}|_{A_\alpha} = f_\alpha$ and $\pi f_{\alpha+1} = \pi g + \delta = f|_{A_{\alpha+1}}$. We now define \bar{f} by $\bar{f}(a) = f_\alpha(a)$ if $a \in A_\alpha$, and obtain $\pi\bar{f} = f$.

b) Suppose that A has a B -cobalanced filtration $\{A_\alpha | \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of countable subgroups. Observe that each $A_\alpha \in {}^*B$ by Lemma 3 if $\alpha = \beta + 1$, or of part a) of Theorem 4 if α is a limit ordinal. For each countable subset Y , there is a countable subset X of $\mathrm{Hom}(B, A)$ such that $Y \subseteq XB$ since A is B -generated. Because B is countable, A is the union of a smooth chain $\{C_\alpha | \alpha < \omega_1\}$ of countable, B -generated subgroups. Since there exists a closed and unbounded subset E of ω_1 such that $C_\alpha = A_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in E$, we may assume that each A_α is A -generated.

Since A_α is B -generated, it is a B_0 -module where B_0 is the subring of \mathbb{Q} generated by $\{\frac{1}{p} | B = pB\}$. Because A is reduced, A_α is isomorphic to a subgroups of B^{I_α} for some index-set I_α by [3, Proposition 2.2]. Since $E(B)$ is

right hereditary, it is also right and left Noetherian by [12], and $H_B(B^{I_\alpha})$ is \aleph_1 -projective. By [2], A_α is B -solvable, and $H_B(A_\alpha)$ is a countable submodule of $H_B(B^{I_\alpha})$. Hence, $A_\alpha \cong T_B H_B(A_\alpha)$ is B -projective.

The sequence $0 \rightarrow (A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha)^* \rightarrow A_{\alpha+1}^* \rightarrow A_\alpha^* \rightarrow 0$ is exact and induces the top-row of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A_\alpha^{**} & \longrightarrow & A_{\alpha+1}^{**} & \longrightarrow & (A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha)^{**} \\ & & \cong \uparrow \psi_{A_\alpha} & & \cong \uparrow \psi_{A_{\alpha+1}} & & \uparrow \psi_{A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A_\alpha & \longrightarrow & A_{\alpha+1} & \longrightarrow & (A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where the first two maps are isomorphisms since B is slender. By the Snake Lemma, $\psi_{A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha}$ is a monomorphism. Thus, $A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha$ can be embedded into a group of the form B^I . Arguing as before, we obtain that $A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha$ is B -projective. Since $E(B)$ is hereditary, the every submodule of the last module in the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow H_B(A_\alpha) \rightarrow H_B(A_{\alpha+1}) \rightarrow H_B(A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha)$ is projective, and the top row of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T_B H_B(A_\alpha) & \longrightarrow & T_B H_B(A_{\alpha+1}) & & \\ & & \cong \downarrow \theta_{A_\alpha} & & \cong \downarrow \theta_{A_{\alpha+1}} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A_\alpha & \longrightarrow & A_{\alpha+1} & \longrightarrow & (A_{\alpha+1}/A_\alpha) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

splits. Thus, the bottom row splits too, say $A_{\alpha+1} = A_\alpha \oplus U_\alpha$. Therefore, $A \cong \bigoplus_\alpha U_\alpha$ is B -projective.

Conversely, observe that a B -projective group A is of the form $A = \bigoplus_{\alpha < \omega_1} B_\alpha$ where each B_α is isomorphic to a B -generated subgroup of B since E is right hereditary. Clearly, $A_\alpha = \bigoplus_{\beta < \alpha} B_\beta$ is a B -cobalanced filtration. \square

Corollary 5. *Let B be a finitely faithful S -group. If A is an \aleph_1 - B -projective group of cardinality \aleph_1 , which is not B -projective, then A does not have a B -cobalanced \aleph_1 -filtration with factors in *B .*

By the well-known Corner-Dugas-Goebel construction, there exists an \aleph_1 -free left E^{op} -module M such that $\text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M^+)$ is E^{op} . Viewing M as a right E -module gives an \aleph_1 -projective E -module which is not projective. By [1], ϕ_M is an isomorphism, and $A = T_B(M)$ is an \aleph_1 - B -projective, B -solvable Abelian group which does not have a B -balanced \aleph_1 -filtration.

Furthermore, we obtain

Corollary 6. *The following statements are undecidable in ZFC:*

- If G has cardinality \aleph_1 and $\text{Ext}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, then G has a \mathbb{Z} -cobalanced filtration of countable subgroups;*
- There exists a reduced group H with $\text{Ext}(H, \mathbb{Z})$ torsion-free and for which one can find an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ with $\text{Ext}(G, \mathbb{Z})$ is not torsion-free.*

Proof. Assume $V = L$. By [7, Cor. XII, 2.11] there exists a coseparable group G of cardinality \aleph_1 , which is not free. Since Whitehead-groups are free, $\text{Ext}(G, \mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$. By Theorem 4 G does not have a \mathbb{Z} -balanced filtration and if $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow X \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ represents a non-zero element of $\text{Ext}(G, \mathbb{Z})$, then $\text{Ext}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is not torsion-free arguing as in the proof of Example 1.

On the other hand we assume that the existence of supercompact cardinals is consistent with ZFC. Then it is also consistent with ZFC that every coseparable group is free, cf. [13]. Thus $\text{Ext}(H, \mathbb{Z})$ torsion-free implies that H is free. Clearly, free groups have \mathbb{Z} -cobalanced filtrations and if $\text{Ext}(H, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, then $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ splits. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] U. Albrecht; Endomorphism rings and A-projective torsion-free Abelian groups; Abelian Group Theory, Proceedings Honolulu 1982/83; Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1006; Springer Verlag; Berlin, New York, Heidelberg (1983); 209-227.
- [2] U. Albrecht; Endomorphism Rings of Faithfully Flat Abelian Groups; Resultate der Mathematik 17 (1990); 179 - 201.
- [3] Albrecht, U., and Friedenberg, S.; Murley groups and the torsion-freeness of Ext ; preprint.
- [4] Albrecht, U., and Goeters, H. P.; Strong S-groups; Colloquium Mathematicum 80 (1999); 97-105.
- [5] Arnold, D. M.; Finite Rank Torsion Free Abelian Groups and Rings, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 931; Springer-Verlag Berlin-Heidelberg-New York (1982).
- [6] Arnold, D. M.; Endomorphism rings and subgroups of finite rank torsion-free Abelian groups; Rocky Mountain J. of Math. 12(2) (1982); 241-256.
- [7] Eklof, P. C., Mekler, A. H.; Almost Free Modules; Vol. 46; North Holland Mathematical Library (1990).
- [8] Faticoni, T. G., and Goeters, H. P.; On torsion-free Ext ; Comm. in Alg. 16(9) (1988); 1853-1876.
- [9] Fuchs, L.; Infinite Abelian Groups Vol. 1/2; Academic Press; New York and London (1970/73).
- [10] Goeters, H. P.; When is $\text{Ext}(A, B)$ torsion-free? and related problems; Comm. in Alg. 16(8) (1988); 1605-1619.
- [11] Goeters, H. P.; Extensions of finitely faithful S-groups; Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics 182; Marcel Dekker; New York (1996); 273 - 284.
- [12] Huber, M., and Warfield, R. B.; Oberwolfach 876 (1981).
- [13] Mekler, A. H., and Shelah, S.; Every coseparable group may be free; Israel J. of Math. 81 (1993); 161-178.
- [14] Stenström, B.; Rings of Quotients; Lecture Notes in Math. 217; Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1975).

Received: February 27, 2013