

# On the $k$ -Conjugacy Classes of Infinite Groups

**John W. Lawrence**

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics  
University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West  
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1

**Collin D. Roberts**

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics  
University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West  
Waterloo, Ontario, Canada N2L 3G1  
cd2rober@math.uwaterloo.ca

## Abstract

Given any group  $G$  and any positive integer  $k$ , the  $k$ -conjugacy classes of  $G$  are the equivalence classes in  $G^k$  under the equivalence relation wherein 2  $k$ -tuples are equivalent if there exists an element  $g \in G$  which conjugates one  $k$ -tuple onto the other co-ordinate wise. When  $k = 1$  these are just the conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

The main result of this paper is that for an infinite group  $G$  which has finitely many 2-conjugacy classes, as  $k$  increases, there is a point after which there must be infinitely many  $k$ -conjugacy classes.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 20F38, 20F50

**Keywords:** conjugacy classes, locally finite groups

## 1 Introduction

Here we give a very brief description of the original motivating question from B. Doug Park that eventually led to the result. A slightly more detailed description is given in [5].

Let  $G$  be a discrete group. Let  $P$  be a  $G$ -principal bundle over a manifold  $X$ . By a deep theorem in differential geometry, there is a bijection between the set of flat connections on  $P$  modulo gauge equivalence and the set of

group homomorphisms  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow G$  modulo the equivalence relation of conjugacy. In the special case where  $X$  is a Riemann surface of genus  $g$ , i.e. a 2-manifold with  $g$  holes (which we denote by  $\Sigma_g$ ), then we know the shape of the fundamental group.

$$\pi_1(\Sigma_g) = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_g, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_g \mid \prod_i [\alpha_i, \beta_i] = 1 \rangle$$

We can construct the desired homomorphisms  $\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma_g) \rightarrow G$  which respect the necessary relation by sending  $\alpha_i$  to  $1_G$  and  $\beta_i$  wherever we like. It is desirable that even after killing half of the generators of  $\pi_1(\Sigma_g)$  in this manner, that we should still have infinitely many conjugacy classes remaining. In this case the 2-conjugacy class problem (a particular case of the more general  $k$ -conjugacy class problem which we describe below) tells us something about the number of gauge equivalence classes of flat connections on a  $G$ -principal bundle  $P$ . The original motivating question was to determine the truth of the following statement.

The number of 2-conjugacy classes of  $G$  is finite implies that  $G$  is finite.

This paper takes a step toward answering the original motivating question, however we do not yet have a complete answer.

## 2 Definition and First Properties of $k$ -Conjugacy Classes

**Definition 2.1** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $k$  a positive integer. Define an equivalence relation on  $G^k$ , the direct product of  $k$  copies of  $G$ , by  $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \sim (b_1, \dots, b_k)$  if and only if there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $g^{-1}a_i g = b_i$ ,  $\forall i = 1, \dots, k$ . The equivalence classes are called  $k$ -conjugacy classes.*

Let  $G(k)$  denote the number (possibly infinite) of  $k$ -conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

Before stating our main theorem, we record a few useful properties of the  $k$ -conjugacy classes of an arbitrary group  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.2** *If  $G$  is non-trivial, and  $G(k) < \infty$ , then  $G(k+1) \geq 2G(k)$ .*

**Proof:** Assume that  $G(k) = n$ , for some positive integer  $n$ . Since  $G$  is non-trivial, we may let  $1 \neq g \in G$ . Fix  $n$   $k$ -tuple representatives of the  $k$ -conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & (g_{11}, \dots, g_{1k}) \\ & (g_{21}, \dots, g_{2k}) \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & (g_{n1}, \dots, g_{nk}) \end{aligned}$$

Then it is clear that the following  $n$   $k$ -tuples must lie in distinct  $(k + 1)$ -conjugacy classes of  $G$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &(1, g_{11}, \dots, g_{1k}) \\ &(1, g_{21}, \dots, g_{2k}) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &(1, g_{n1}, \dots, g_{nk}) \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the following list of  $n$   $k$ -tuples must lie in  $(k + 1)$ -conjugacy classes of  $G$  which are distinct from each other, and distinct from each of the first list of  $n$   $(k + 1)$ -conjugacy classes.

$$\begin{aligned} &(g, g_{11}, \dots, g_{1k}) \\ &(g, g_{21}, \dots, g_{2k}) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &(g, g_{n1}, \dots, g_{nk}) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $G$  must have at least  $2n$  distinct  $(k + 1)$ -conjugacy classes.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3** *If  $G$  is non-trivial, and if there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $G(k) < \infty$ , then  $G(l) < \infty$  for all  $l < k$ .*

**Proof:** If  $G(l)$  is infinite, then so is  $G(k)$ , by (2.2).  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4** *If  $G$  is a finite group, then  $G(k) \geq |G|^{k-1}$ .*

**Proof:** Since  $G$  is finite, so is  $G^k$ . Consider the action of  $G$  by co-ordinate wise conjugation on  $G^k$ . Then the formula for counting orbits which is often referred to as Burnside's Lemma (Theorem 2.113 in [7]) gives

$$G(k) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{\tau \in G} \text{Fix}(\tau)$$

where  $\text{Fix}(\tau)$  is the number of elements of  $G^k$  fixed by  $\tau$ . Consider the contribution to the above sum just from  $\tau = 1_G$ . It is clear that  $\text{Fix}(1_G) = |G^k| = |G|^k$ . Thus we obtain that

$$G(k) \geq \frac{1}{|G|} |G|^k = |G|^{k-1}$$

as claimed.  $\square$

Note that using the same orbit counting formula, a straightforward computation shows that  $S_3(k) = 2^{k-1} + 3^{k-1} + 6^{k-1}$ , where  $S_3$  is the symmetric group on 3 letters.

We will also need the following bound on the number of  $k$ -conjugacy classes for a normal subgroup of finite index.

**Lemma 2.5** *Given a group  $G$  and a normal subgroup  $N \triangleleft G$  with  $G(k) < \infty$  and  $[G : N] < \infty$ , we have  $N(k) \leq [G : N] \cdot G(k)$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $n = [G : N]$ . Fix representatives  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  for the  $n$  cosets of  $N$  in  $G$ . Fix 2  $k$ -tuples in  $N^k$ :  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  and  $(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ . Suppose that  $(a_1, \dots, a_k) \sim (b_1, \dots, b_k)$  in  $G^k$ , via  $g \in G$ . Then we can write  $g = g_i n_g$ , for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and some  $n_g \in N$ . Then since  $g$  conjugates  $(a_1, \dots, a_k)$  onto  $(b_1, \dots, b_k)$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & g^{-1} a_j g &= b_j & 1 \leq j \leq k \\ \implies & (g_i n_g)^{-1} a_j (g_i n_g) &= b_j & 1 \leq j \leq k \\ \implies & n_g^{-1} \underbrace{(g_i^{-1} a_j g_i)}_{\in N, \text{ since } N \triangleleft G} n_g &= b_j & 1 \leq j \leq k \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(g_i^{-1} a_1 g_i, \dots, g_i^{-1} a_k g_i) \sim (b_1, \dots, b_k)$  in  $N^k$ , via  $n_g \in N$ . Since there are  $n$  possible choices for  $g_i$ , there can be at most  $n$   $k$ -conjugacy classes of  $N$  arising from a given  $k$ -conjugacy class of  $G$ .  $\square$

We also recall one basic result which we will use several times throughout this paper.

**Lemma 2.6** *Let  $G$  be a group, and  $H \leq G$  a subgroup of finite index  $n$ . Then there exists a subgroup  $K$  of  $H$ , which is normal in  $G$ , and such that  $|G/K|$  is a divisor of  $n!$  (in particular  $|G/K|$  is finite).*

**Proof:** In the proof of Theorem 2.88 in [7], take  $K = \ker \varphi$ . Then, by the First Isomorphism Theorem, we obtain

$$G/K = G/\ker \varphi \cong \text{im} \varphi \leq S_n$$

whence the desired result follows, since  $|S_n| = n!$ .  $\square$

### 3 The Theorem

We adopt the convention that  $\mathbb{P}$  denotes the set of positive integers.

**Theorem 3.1** *There is an increasing function  $\alpha : \mathbb{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$  such that if  $G$  is an infinite group with  $G(2)$  finite and  $k \geq \alpha(G(2))$ , then  $G(k)$  is infinite.*

We will prove this theorem in several steps. If the theorem is false, then there exist a positive integer  $N$  and a sequence  $G_1, G_2, G_3, \dots$  of infinite groups such that

1.  $G_k(2) \leq N$  for all  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

2.  $G_k(k)$  is finite for all  $k = 2, 3, \dots$

We will assume that these conditions are satisfied and obtain a contradiction.

**Theorem 3.2** *Fix any positive integers  $l$  and  $k$  with  $l < k$ . Then*

1. *If  $H \leq G_k$  is an  $l$ -generated subgroup, then  $|H| \leq G_k(l+1)$  (note that this is a uniform bound on  $|H|$ ). Thus there are only finitely many isomorphism classes of  $(l+1)$ -generated subgroups of  $G_k$ .*
2. *Moreover, no composition series of an  $(< l)$ -generated subgroup of  $G_k$  has length greater than  $G_k(l)$ .*

A version of this result is proved in [5]. We present an improved proof here.

**Proof:** For (1), Let  $H$  be any  $l$ -generated subgroup of  $G_k$ . Fix generators  $a_1, \dots, a_l \in H$  so that we may write  $H = \langle a_1, \dots, a_l \rangle$ . List all the elements of  $H$ , i.e.  $H = \{h_1, h_2, \dots\}$ .

Construct all  $(l+1)$ -tuples of the form  $(a_1, \dots, a_l, h_i) \in H^{(l+1)}$ , where  $h_i$  runs through all the elements of  $H$ . For each  $(l+1)$ -tuple, construct the  $(l+1)$ -conjugacy class to which it belongs. Each  $(l+1)$ -tuple is then a representative of its class. By the hypothesis that  $G_k(k)$  is finite and by (2.3),  $G_k(l+1)$  is finite.

Consider the set of  $(l+1)$ -conjugacy classes described above. They are not necessarily all distinct. We know that we have at most  $G_k(l+1)$  distinct classes by hypothesis. Write a (possibly shorter) list of representatives from the distinct classes. Each representative has the form  $(a_1, \dots, a_l, h_i)$  for some  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, G_k(l+1)\}$ . We may not need all  $G_k(l+1)$  of them. Since we seek a uniform bound, we treat the most pessimistic case possible.

Pick another arbitrary  $(l+1)$ -tuple  $(a_1, \dots, a_l, h_n)$  for any  $h_n \in H$ . By construction this new  $(l+1)$ -tuple must belong to one of the  $(l+1)$ -conjugacy classes constructed above, say the  $j$ th one. Then by definition there exists  $g \in G_k$  such that

$$(a_1, \dots, a_l, h_n) = (g^{-1}a_1g, \dots, g^{-1}a_lg, g^{-1}h_jg)$$

Now observe that by the original construction of the  $(l+1)$ -tuples, conjugation must fix the  $a_i$ s. Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= g^{-1}a_1g \\ a_2 &= g^{-1}a_2g \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \vdots \\
a_k &= g^{-1}a_k g \\
h_l &= g^{-1}h_j g \\
\implies h_l &= h_j
\end{aligned}$$

Here is the explanation of the last equality above. Conjugation by  $g$  must fix all the  $a_i$ s. The  $a_i$ s generate all of  $H$ . Therefore we can write  $h_j = a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_s}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{-1}h_j g &= g^{-1}(a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_s})g \\
&= (g^{-1}a_{j_1}g) \dots (g^{-1}a_{j_s}g) \\
&= (a_{j_1}) \dots (a_{j_s}) \text{ (since conjugation by } g \text{ fixes the } a_i\text{s)} \\
&= h_j
\end{aligned}$$

Since there are at most  $G_k(l+1)$  distinct  $(l+1)$ -tuples, there are at most  $G_k(l+1)$  choices for  $j$ . Therefore there are at most  $G_k(l+1)$  elements in  $H$ . This completes the proof of (1).

For (2), Let  $H = \langle a_1, \dots, a_{l-1} \rangle \leq G_k$  be any  $(l-1)$ -generated subgroup. By the result in (1), we then have  $|H| \leq G_k(l)$ . Since  $H$  contains at most  $G_k(l)$  distinct elements, any composition series of  $H$  could contain at most  $G_k(l)$  factors. Any choice of fewer than  $(l-1)$  generators for  $H$  will yield the same result. This completes the proof of (2).  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3** *If  $k > 1$ , then  $G_k$  has finite exponent.*

**Proof:** Let  $k > 1$ . Let  $H = \langle g \rangle$  be any 1-generated (i.e. cyclic) subgroup of  $G_k$ . Since  $1 < k$ , we have by (3.2) that  $|H| \leq G_k(2)$ . Recall that by hypothesis  $G_k(2) \leq N < \infty$ . Therefore  $|H| \leq N$ , independently of the choice of  $k$ . Since we have a uniform bound on the order of cyclic subgroups of  $G_k$ , we have a uniform bound on the order of elements of  $G_k$ . Thus  $G_k$  has finite exponent as claimed.  $\square$

Define  $S$  to be the set of simple homomorphic images (up to isomorphism) of finite subgroups of each  $G_k$ , for  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

**Lemma 3.4**  *$S$  is a finite set.*

**Proof:** By (3.3), there is a uniform bound on the exponent of all the groups in  $S$ .

The members of  $S$  are homomorphic images of finite groups, so they are themselves finite groups. By Proposition 2.2 in [3], every finite simple group can be

generated by 2 elements. Therefore every member of  $S$  is 2-generated, since they are all finite simple groups.

We have uniform bounds on the exponent and the number of generators for all the groups which are members of  $S$ .

Denote by  $B_n$  the restricted 2-generated Burnside group of exponent  $n$ . Recall that by the Hall-Higman reduction and Zelmanov's solution of the Restricted Burnside Problem for prime power exponent (see [8]), we have that  $B_n$  is finite for all  $n$ . Thus each  $B_n$  has (up to isomorphism) only finitely many distinct homomorphic images.

Any finite 2-generated group of exponent  $n$  is a homomorphic image of  $B_n$ . Since we have uniform bounds on the number of generators and the exponent of each member of  $S$ , we obtain only finitely many  $B_n$  of which the members of  $S$  can be homomorphic images. Each  $B_n$  can have only finitely many distinct homomorphic images. Therefore there can be only finitely many distinct isomorphism classes lying in  $S$ .

Therefore  $S$  is finite (and is determined by  $N$ ).  $\square$

**Definition 3.5** Recall that if  $G$  is a finite group, then a normal series of  $G$  is a chain of subgroups, each of which are normal in  $G$ , of the form

$$\{1\} = N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq N_a = G$$

The series is proper if  $N_i \subsetneq N_{i+1}$  for all  $i$ .

A subnormal series of  $G$  is a chain of subgroups of the form

$$\{1\} = N_0 \trianglelefteq N_1 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq N_a = G$$

Note that for a subnormal series each group  $N_i$  is not required to be normal in  $G$ , only in its immediate successor.

A composition series of  $G$  is a subnormal series of  $G$ , all of whose factors are simple.

Consider now a proper normal series of  $G$  which cannot be further refined to a proper normal series. The length of such a chain as well as the set of factors  $\{N_{i+1}/N_i\}$  are invariants of the group  $G$ . The factors  $N_{i+1}/N_i$  are called the chief factors of  $G$ . See [6], pp 17-18 for details.

**Lemma 3.6** *If  $H$  is a homomorphic image of an  $l$ -generated subgroup of any  $G_k$  (where  $k \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq l < k$ ), then the factors of a composition series of  $H$  all lie in  $S$  (up to isomorphism).*

**Proof:** The proof is by induction on  $|H|$ .

If  $H$  is simple, then  $H \in S$  and we are done. So assume for the rest of the proof that  $H$  is not simple.

Since  $H$  is not simple, we can find a non-trivial proper normal subgroup  $N \triangleleft H$ . Then  $N$  and  $H/N$  are both homomorphic images of finite subgroups of  $G_k$  and strictly smaller than  $H$ , and the desired result follows by the induction hypothesis.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7** *If  $A$  is a finite homomorphic image of any  $G_k$ , then the order of  $A$  is uniformly bounded as a function of  $N$ .*

**Proof:** By (2.3),  $G_k$  has no more than  $N$  conjugacy classes. Therefore  $G_k$  has no more than  $N$  normal subgroups. Thus there is a uniform bound on the length of any proper normal series of  $A$ . This bound is a function of  $N$ .

Since  $S$  is finite, we may let  $s$  denote the order of the largest simple group in  $S$ . Then  $A$  has a proper normal subgroup  $A_1 \triangleleft A$  of index at most  $s$ . If  $A_1$  is non-trivial, then  $A_1$  contains a proper subgroup  $B_1$ , of index at most  $s$  in  $A_1$ .

Note that  $B_1$  may not be normal in  $A$ . However  $B_1$  is a proper subgroup of  $A_1$ , of index at most  $s^2$  in  $A$ . Then by (2.6),  $B_1$  contains a subgroup  $A_2$ , which is properly contained in  $A_1$  and is normal in  $A$ , and of index at most  $(s^2)!$  in  $A$ .

If  $A_2$  is not trivial, then we may iterate this construction once more, to obtain a proper subgroup  $A_3$  of  $A_2$ , which is normal in  $A$ , and of index at most  $((s^2)!)s!$  in  $A$ .

Because there is a uniform bound on the length of any proper normal series of  $A$ , this construction must terminate after finitely many steps. Since  $s$  is determined by  $N$ , we therefore have a uniform bound on the order of  $A$  as a function of  $N$ , as required.  $\square$

**Definition 3.8** *Recall that a variety of groups is a class of groups (in some universe) closed under direct products, subgroups, and homomorphic images. Equivalently, a variety of groups is a class of groups defined by a set of identities.*

If  $\mathbb{V}$  is a variety of groups and  $G$  is a group then the verbal subgroup of  $G$  (denoted by  $G^*$ ) is the subgroup of  $G$  generated by

$$\{w(a_1, \dots, a_n) : w = 1 \text{ is an identity of } \mathbb{V}, a_i \in G\}$$

Equivalently, it is the intersection of all the normal subgroups  $N \triangleleft G$  such that  $G/N \in \mathbb{V}$ .

The verbal subgroup is a fully invariant subgroup of  $G$ . See Theorem 12.33 in [4] for details.

Let  $\mathbb{V}$  be the variety of groups generated by the set  $S$  as defined above. Note that since  $S$  is a finite set of finite groups, we may regard  $\mathbb{V}$  as being the variety generated by a single finite group (since a variety is closed under homomorphic images).

**Lemma 3.9** *If  $H$  is an  $l$ -generated subgroup of any  $G_k$  (where  $k \geq 2$  and  $1 \leq l < k$ ), then every chief factor of  $H$  lies in  $\mathbb{V}$ .*

**Proof:** Consider the normal series

$$H \supseteq H^* \supseteq \dots \supseteq H^{*(n)} \supseteq \dots$$

where  $H^{*(n)}$  denotes the verbal subgroup operation iterated  $n$  times.

If  $H$  has a composition series (i.e. a subnormal series) of length  $t$ , then by (3.6),  $H^{*(t)} = \{1\}$ . Clearly all the factors  $H^{*(i)}/H^{*(i+1)}$  lie in  $\mathbb{V}$ . If this series is refined to a maximal proper normal series, then all the factors of the new series will lie in  $\mathbb{V}$  also by (3.6).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.10** *Without loss of generality,  $G_k$  is simple for all  $k \geq 2$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $k \geq 2$ . By (2.3),  $G_k$  has no more than  $N$  conjugacy classes. Therefore  $G_k$  has no more than  $N$  normal subgroups.

Let  $N_k \triangleleft G_k$  be minimal with respect to the property ' $G_k/N_k$  is finite'. Then since  $G_k(k)$  is finite and since  $N_k$  is a normal subgroup of finite index, by (2.5)  $N_k(k)$  is finite.

Any proper subgroup of  $N_k$  has infinite index, for by (2.6), a subgroup of finite index always contains a normal subgroup of finite index, contradicting the minimality of  $N_k$ . Let  $M_k \triangleleft N_k$  be a maximal proper normal subgroup of  $N_k$ .

Then  $N_k/M_k$  is simple and infinite. By (2.5), we have that

$$N_k(k) \leq [G_k : N_k] \cdot G_k(k)$$

$G_k/N_k$  is a finite homomorphic image of  $G_k$ . Therefore, by (3.7), we have that  $[G_k : N_k] = |G_k/N_k|$  is uniformly bounded as a function of  $N$ , independently of the choice of  $k$ . So we may re-define our  $G_k$  to be this  $N_k/M_k$ , and it has all the required properties to continue with the proof.  $\square$

Observe that  $\mathbb{V}$  is generated by a finite group and is therefore finitely based by the Oates-Powell Theorem (Corollary 52.12 in [4]). We may assume that there is a positive integer  $n$  such that the variables  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are the only ones required by the finite base for  $\mathbb{V}$ .

**Lemma 3.11** *If  $k > nN + 1$ , then  $G_k \in \mathbb{V}$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $k > nN + 1$ . Since  $\mathbb{V}$  is finitely based, we only have to check finitely many identities to decide whether  $G_k$  lies in  $\mathbb{V}$ .

For a contradiction, suppose that  $w(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$  is an identity of  $\mathbb{V}$  such that for some  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in G_k$ , we have

$$w(a_1, \dots, a_n) = b \neq 1$$

By (3.10),  $G_k$  is simple. Therefore  $G_k$  is the normal closure of the subgroup generated by any non-trivial set of elements of  $G_k$ . In particular,  $G_k$  is the normal closure of  $\langle b \rangle$ . By (2.3),  $G_k$  has at most  $N$  conjugacy classes. Therefore each  $a_i$  is a product of at most  $N$  conjugates of  $b$ . Thus there exist  $g_1, \dots, g_N \in G_k$  such that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  lie in the subgroup of  $G_k$  generated by  $\{g_i^{-1}bg_i\}_{i=1}^N$ .

Let  $H = \langle b, g_1, \dots, g_N \rangle \leq G_k$ . Then  $H$  is an  $(N+1)$ -generated subgroup of  $G_k$ , where  $(N+1) < k$ . Let  $K$  be the normal closure of  $\langle b \rangle$  in  $H$ . Then  $K^* \subseteq K$  always holds (recall  $K^*$  is the verbal subgroup of  $K$ ). As  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K$  and  $K^*$  is a normal subgroup of  $H$  containing  $b$ , we must also have  $K \subseteq K^*$  since  $K$ , being the normal closure of  $\langle b \rangle$  in  $H$ , is contained in any normal subgroup containing  $b$ . Therefore we must have  $K = K^*$ .

Let  $M < K$  be a proper subgroup which is maximal with respect to

1.  $M \trianglelefteq H$
2.  $b \notin M$

Then any larger subgroup of  $K$  which is normal in  $H$  will contain  $b$  and will therefore be equal to  $K$ . Therefore  $K/M$  is a chief factor of  $H$ , and so by (3.9),  $K/M \in \mathbb{V}$  and

$$K^* \subseteq M \subsetneq K$$

which contradicts  $K = K^*$  above.  $\square$

See Theorem 5.27 on p154 in [6] for a similar proof.

**Corollary 3.12** *If  $k > nN + 1$ , then  $G_k$  is finite.*

**Proof:** Let  $k > nN + 1$ . By (3.11),  $G_k \in \mathbb{V}$ . Recall that by earlier remarks,  $\mathbb{V}$  is a variety generated by a finite group. Ross Willard has pointed out some very general results in universal algebra. Theorem 14.5 (p185) of [1] implies that an infinite simple group cannot be in the variety generated by a finite group. By 3.10,  $G_k$  is simple. If  $G_k$  is also infinite, then we get a contradiction with Theorem 14.5 (p185) of [1]. Thus the only possibility that remains is that  $G_k$  is finite, as claimed.  $\square$

But now (3.12) contradicts the original assumption that  $G_k$  was infinite for all  $k \geq 1$  and this contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Here we make a few additional closing remarks.

As we noted in (3.3), if  $G$  is a group for which  $G(2)$  is finite, then  $G$  must be a torsion group with a uniform bound on the orders of the elements.

A similar argument to that presented here can also be used to show the following result.

**Corollary 3.13** *If  $G$  is a locally finite group  $G$  with  $G(2)$  finite, then  $G$  must be finite.*

## References

- [1] D. Hobby, and R. McKenzie, *The Structure of Finite Algebras (Contemporary Mathematics, v.76)*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1988
- [2] O. H. Kegel and B. A. F. Wehrfritz, *Locally Finite Groups*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973
- [3] M. Liebeck and A. Shalev, The Probability of Generating a Finite Simple Group, *Geometriae Dedicata*, **56** (1995), 103-113

- [4] H. Neumann, *Varieties of Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1967
- [5] C. D. Roberts, *A  $k$ -Conjugacy Class Problem*, Masters Thesis, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario, 2007
- [6] D. J. S. Robinson, *Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups (Volume 1)*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972
- [7] J. J. Rotman, *Advanced Modern Algebra*, Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, 2002
- [8] M. Vaughn-Lee, *The Restricted Burnside Problem*, Second Edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, England, 1993

**Received: October 30, 2008**