Birkhoff Centre of an Almost Distributive Lattice

U. M. Swamy

umswamy@yahoo.com

S. Ramesh

Department of Mathematics Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, India rameshsirisetti@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

In this paper, the concept of the Birkhoff centre B(L) of an Almost Distributive Lattice L with maximal elements is introduced and proved that B(L) is a relatively complemented ADL. Mainly it is proved that the elements of B(L) are in one-to-one correspondence with the complemented ideals of L and also with the factor-congruences on L and hence with the direct decompositions of L.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 06D99

Keywords: Almost Distributive Lattices (ADLs), relatively complemented ADLs, ideals, filters, factor-congruences.

1 Introduction

The concept of an Almost Distributive Lattice was introduced by U.M.Swamy and G.C.Rao [4] in 1980. It is an algebraic structure which satisfies almost all the properties of a distributive lattice with the smallest element except the commutativity of the operations \vee and \wedge and the right distributivity of \vee over \wedge . It is well known that the Birkhoff centre of a bounded partially ordered set P is a Boolean algebra in which the operations are l.u.b. and g.l.b. in P [1]. In [4], U.M.Swamy, G.C.Rao, R.V.G.Ravi Kumar and Ch. Pragathi have extended the above concept for a general partially ordered set P and proved that B(P) is a relatively complemented distributive lattice in which the operations are l.u.b. and g.l.b, in P (provided B(P) is non-empty).

Also, they have observed that, for a lattice, Birkhoff centres as a lattice and as a partially ordered set coincide. In this paper, we introduce the concept of the Birkhoff centre B(L) of an Almost Distributive Lattice L with maximal elements and prove that B(L) is a relatively complemented almost distributive lattice. Mainly we obtain a one-to-one correspondences between the Birkhoff centre of L and the set of complemented ideals of L and between the Birkhoff centre of L and the set of factor-congruences on L.

2 Preliminaries

In this section we recollect some preliminary concepts and results on Almost Distributive Lattices from [2].

Definition 2.1. [2] An algebra $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0)$ of type (2, 2, 0) is said to be an Almost Distributive Lattice (ADL) if it satisfies the following conditions.

- (1) $a \lor 0 = a$
- (2) $0 \wedge a = 0$
- (3) $(a \lor b) \land c = (a \land c) \lor (b \land c)$
- $(4) \ a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$
- $(5) \ a \lor (b \land c) = (a \lor b) \land (a \lor c)$
- (6) $(a \lor b) \land b = b$

for all $a, b, c \in L$. The element 0 is called, as usual, the zero element of L.

Example 2.2. [2] Let X be a non-empty set. Fix $x_0 \in X$. For any $x, y \in X$, define

$$x \wedge y = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{if } x = x_0 \\ y & \text{if } x \neq x_0 \end{cases} \quad and \quad x \vee y = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } x = x_0 \\ x & \text{if } x \neq x_0 \end{cases}$$

Then (X, \vee, \wedge, x_0) is an ADL with x_0 as its zero element. This ADL is called a discrete ADL.

Example 2.3. [2] Let $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ be a commutative regular ring with identity. For any $a \in R$, let a_0 be an idempotent element such that $a_0R = aR$. For any $x, y \in R$, define $x \wedge y = x_0y$ and $x \vee y = x + y + x_0y$. Then $(R, \vee, \wedge, 0)$ is an ADL.

Example 2.4. Every distributive lattice with zero is an ADL.

For any a, b in an ADL L, we say that a is less than or equal to b and write $a \le b$, if $a \land b = a$. Then \le is a partial ordering on L.

Throughout this paper, unless otherwise stated, L denotes an ADL $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0)$.

Lemma 2.5. [2] For any $a, b \in L$, we have

- (1) $a \wedge 0 = 0$ and $0 \vee a = a$
- (2) $a \wedge a = a = a \vee a$
- (3) $(a \wedge b) \vee b = b, a \vee (b \wedge a) = a \text{ and } a \wedge (a \vee b) = a$
- (4) $a \wedge b = b \iff a \vee b = a$
- (5) $a \wedge b = a \iff a \vee b = b$
- (6) $a \wedge b \leq b$ and $a \leq a \vee b$
- (7) $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$ whenever a < b
- (8) $a \lor (b \lor a) = a \lor b$.

Theorem 2.6. [2] For any $a, b \in L$, the following are equivalent to each other.

- (1) $(a \wedge b) \vee a = a$
- $(2) \ a \wedge (b \vee a) = a$
- $(3) (b \wedge a) \vee b = b$
- $(4) \ b \land (a \lor b) = b$
- (5) $a \wedge b = b \wedge a$
- (6) $a \lor b = b \lor a$
- (7) The supremum of a and b exists and equals to $a \lor b$
- (8) There exists $x \in L$ such that $a \leq x$ and $b \leq x$
- (9) The infimum of a and b exists and equals to $a \wedge b$.

Theorem 2.7. [2] For any $a, b, c \in L$, we have

- (1) $(a \lor b) \land c = (b \lor a) \land c$
- $(2) \land is associative in L$
- (3) $a \wedge b \wedge c = b \wedge a \wedge c$.

From the above theorem, it follows that, for any $x \in L$, the set $\{a \land x \mid a \in L\}$ forms a bounded distributive lattice and, in particular, we have

$$((a \land b) \lor c) \land x = ((a \lor c) \land (b \lor c)) \land x$$

for all $a, b, c, x \in L$. An element $m \in L$ is said to be maximal if $m \leq x$ implies m = x. It can be easily observed that m is maximal if and only if $m \wedge x = x$ for all $x \in L$.

Definition 2.8. [2] A non-empty subset I of L is said to be an ideal of L if it satisfies the following;

- (i) $a, b \in I \Rightarrow a \lor b \in I$
- (ii) $a \in I, x \in L \Rightarrow a \land x \in I$.

If I is an ideal of L, then $x \wedge a \in I$ for any $a \in I$ and $x \in L$; For, $x \wedge a = x \wedge a \wedge a = a \wedge x \wedge a \in I$. Therefore in this case, any right ideal in the usual sense is a left ideal two and hence a two sided ideal in the usual sense. However a left ideal may not be a right ideal. For consider the following example.

Example 2.9. Let D be a discrete ADL. For any $0 \neq x \in D$, the set $\{0, x\}$ is a left ideal but not a right ideal of D.

Definition 2.10. [2] A non-empty subset F of L is said to be a filter of L, if it satisfies the following;

- (i) $a, b \in F \Rightarrow a \land b \in F$ (ii) $a \in F, x \in L \Rightarrow x \lor a \in F$.
- If F is a filter of L, then $a \vee x \in F$ for any $a \in F$ and $x \in L$; For, $a \vee x = (a \vee x) \wedge (a \vee x) = (x \vee a) \wedge (a \vee x) = (x \wedge (a \vee x)) \vee (a \wedge (a \vee x)) = (x \wedge (a \vee x)) \vee a \in F$. Therefore in any ADL, every left filter in the usual sense is a right filter and hence a two sided filter in the usual sense. However a right filter may not be a left filter. For, consider the following example.

Example 2.11. Let D be a discrete ADL. For any $0 \neq x \in D$, the set $\{x\}$ is a right filter but not a left filter of D.

It is known that, for any $x,y\in L$ with $x\leq y$, the interval [x,y] is a bounded distributive lattice. Now, an ADL L is said to be relatively complemented if, for any $x,y\in L$ with $x\leq y$, the interval [x,y] is a complemented distributive lattice.

Theorem 2.12. [2] The following are equivalent for any ADL L;

- (1) L is relatively complemented
- (2) Given $x, y \in L$, there exists $a \in L$ such that $x \wedge a = 0$ and $x \vee a = x \vee y$
- (3) For any $x \in L$, the interval [0, x] is complemented.

3 The Birkhoff Centre

In this section we define the Birkhoff centre of an Almost Distributive Lattice L with maximal elements and prove that the Birkhoff centre of L is a relatively complemented ADL. We obtain a one-to-one correspondences between the set B(L), of complemented ideals of L and the set of factor-congruences on L. Throughout this paper we consider only ADLs which contain at least one maximal element.

Definition 3.1. Given an ADL L, define $B(L) := \{a \in L \mid \text{ there exists } b \in L \text{ such that } a \land b = 0 \text{ and } a \lor b \text{ is maximal} \}.$

If $a \wedge b = 0$ and $a \vee b$ is maximal, then $b \wedge a = 0$ and $b \vee a$ is maximal; in this case, a and b are called complements to each other. Note that complement of an element need not be unique; for example, in a discrete ADL, every non-zero element is a complement of 0. If L_1 and L_2 are ADLs with maximal elements, then it can be easily verified that $L_1 \times L_2$ is so; Infact (m_1, m_2) is a maximal

element in $L_1 \times L_2$ if and only if m_1 and m_2 are maximal elements in L_1 and L_2 respectively. In the following we extend the result on a bounded distributive lattice L corresponding to decompositions of L into products of two bounded distributive lattices.

Theorem 3.2. For any $a \in L$, $a \in B(L)$ if and only if there exist two ADLs L_1 and L_2 with maximal elements and an isomorphism $f: L \to L_1 \times L_2$ such that $f(a) = (m_1, 0)$, where m_1 is a maximal element in L_1 .

Proof. Suppose that $a \in B(L)$. Then there exists $b \in L$ such that $a \wedge b = 0$ and $a \vee b$ is maximal.

Put $L_1 = (a) = \{a \land x \mid x \in L\}$ and $L_2 = (b) = \{b \land x \mid x \in L\}$ Then L_1 and L_2 are ADLs (subADLs of L) and a and b are maximal elements of L_1 and L_2 respectively. Define $f: L \to L_1 \times L_2$ by

$$f(x) = (a \land x, b \land x)$$
 for all $x \in L$.

Then f is an isomorphism from L onto $L_1 \times L_2$ such that f(a) = (a,0), and a is a maximal element of L_1 . Conversely suppose that there exist two ADLs L_1 and L_2 with maximal elements and an isomorphism $f: L \to L_1 \times L_2$ such that $f(a) = (m_1, 0)$, where m_1 is a maximal element of L_1 . Choose a maximal element m_2 in L_2 . Then there exists $b \in L$ such that $f(b) = (0, m_2)$. Now, $f(a \wedge b) = f(a) \wedge f(b) = (m_1, 0) \wedge (0, m_2) = (0, 0) = f(0)$ and $f(a \vee b) = f(a) \vee f(b) = (m_1, 0) \vee (0, m_2) = (m_1, m_2)$ which is maximal in $L_1 \times L_2$. Therefore $a \wedge b = 0$ and $a \vee b$ is maximal. Thus $a \in B(L)$.

In the following we observe that the Birkhoff centre of L is a relatively complemented subADL of L.

Theorem 3.3. B(L) is a relatively complemented ADL under the operations induced by those of L.

Proof. Clearly $0 \in B(L)$ and hence B(L) is a non-empty subset of L. Let a_1 and $a_2 \in B(L)$. Let b_1 and $b_2 \in L$ be complements of a_1 and a_2 respectively; that is, $a_1 \wedge b_1 = 0 = a_2 \wedge b_2$ and $a_1 \vee b_1, a_2 \vee b_2$ are maximal.

$$(a_1 \wedge a_2) \wedge (b_1 \vee b_2) = (a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge b_1) \vee (a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge b_2) = (a_1 \wedge b_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge b_1) \vee (a_1 \wedge (a_2 \wedge b_2)) = 0 (: a_1 \wedge b_1 = 0 = a_2 \wedge b_2)$$

and, for all $x \in L$,

$$((a_1 \wedge a_2) \vee (b_1 \vee b_2)) \wedge x = [(a_1 \vee b_1 \vee b_2) \wedge (a_2 \vee b_1 \vee b_2)] \wedge x$$
$$= x \quad \text{(since } a_1 \vee b_1 \text{ and } a_2 \vee b_2 \text{ are maximal)}$$

and hence $(a_1 \wedge a_2) \vee (b_1 \vee b_2)$ is maximal. Therefore $b_1 \vee b_2$ is a complement of $a_1 \wedge a_2$ and hence $a_1 \wedge a_2 \in B(L)$. From this, it follows that $b_1 \wedge b_2$ is a

complement of $a_1 \vee a_2$ and hence $a_1 \vee a_2 \in B(L)$. Therefore B(L) is an ADL under the operations induced by those of L. Let $a, b \in B(L)$. Then there exist $c, d \in L$ such that $a \wedge c = 0 = b \wedge d$ and $a \vee c$ and $b \vee d$ are maximal. Put $x = c \wedge b$ and $y = a \vee d$. Then

$$x \wedge y = c \wedge b \wedge (a \vee d)$$

$$= (c \wedge b \wedge a) \vee (c \wedge b \wedge d)$$

$$= 0 \qquad \text{(since } a \wedge c = 0 = b \wedge d\text{)}$$

and, for any $t \in L$,

$$(x \lor y) \land t = ((c \land b) \lor (a \lor d)) \land t$$

$$= (c \lor a \lor d) \land (b \lor a \lor d) \land t$$

$$= (a \lor c) \land (b \lor d) \land t$$

$$= t \qquad \text{(since } a \lor c \text{ and } b \lor d \text{ are maximal)}.$$

Therefore $x \in B(L)$. Now, $a \wedge x = a \wedge c \wedge b = 0$ and $a \vee x = a \vee (c \wedge (a \vee b)) = (a \vee c) \wedge (a \vee b) = a \vee b$ (since $a \vee c$ is maximal). Therefore B(L) is a relatively complemented ADL.

In the following we observe that the Birkhoff centre of a relatively complemented ADL with maximal elements is equal to itself.

Theorem 3.4. L is relatively complemented if and only if B(L) = L.

Proof. Suppose L is relatively complemented. Let $x \in L$ such that x is not maximal. Then there exists a maximal element m of L such that $x \leq m$. (for example, if n is maximal in L, then $x \vee n$ is also maximal and $x \leq x \vee n$). Since L is relatively complemented, there exists $y \in L$ such that $x \wedge y = 0$ and $x \vee y = m$. Therefore $x \in B(L)$ and hence B(L) = L. The converse follows from theorem 3.3.

The following is a straightforward verification.

Theorem 3.5. If
$$L_1$$
 and L_2 are ADLs, then $B(L_1 \times L_1) = B(L_1) \times B(L_2)$.

Let L be an ADL. The relation $\eta := \{(a, b) \in L \times L \mid a \wedge b = b \text{ and } b \wedge a = a\}$ is a congruence relation on L and is the smallest such that L/η is a lattice. We have the following;

Theorem 3.6. $B(L/\eta)$ is isomorphic to $B(L)/\eta_{|B(L)\times B(L)}$.

Proof. Let $a/\eta \in B(L/\eta)$. Then there exists $b \in L$ such that $a/\eta \wedge b/\eta = 0/\eta$ and $a/\eta \vee b/\eta$ is maximal in L/η . Therefore $a \wedge b = 0$ and $(a \vee b)/\eta$ is maximal in L/η . Now, for any $x \in L$, we have $((a \vee b) \wedge x)/\eta = (a \vee b)/\eta \wedge x/\eta = x/\eta$. Then $((a \vee b) \wedge x, x) \in \eta$ and hence $(a \vee b) \wedge x = x$. Therefore $a \vee b$ is maximal in L. Hence $a \in B(L)$. Consider the map $f : B(L) \to B(L/\eta)$ defined by $f(a) = a/\eta$ for any $a \in B(L)$. Then f is an epimorphism and $Kerf = \eta \cap (B(L) \times B(L))$. Hence by the fundamental theorem of homomorphisms, $B(L)/\eta_{|B(L) \times B(L)} \cong B(L/\eta)$.

It is known that an ideal I of an ADL L is complemented if and only if I = (a) for some $a \in L$ [2]. Infact, we have the following.

Theorem 3.7. An ideal I of L is complemented if and only if I = (a) for some $a \in B(L)$.

Proof. Let I be an ideal of L. Suppose that I is complemented. Then there exists an ideal I' of L such that $I \cap I' = (0)$ and $I \vee I' = L$. Choose a maximal element m of L. Then $m = a \vee b$ for some $a \in I$ and $b \in I'$. Since $I \cap I' = (0)$, $a \wedge b = 0$ and we have that $a \vee b$ is maximal. Therefore $a \in B(L)$. Now, since $a \in L$, we have that $(a) \subseteq I$. Also,

$$x \in I \implies b \land x \in I \cap I' = (0)$$

$$\Rightarrow b \land x = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x = m \land x = (a \lor b) \land x = a \land x$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in (a).$$

Therefore (a) = I. Similarly (b) = I'. Conversely, suppose that I = (a) for some $a \in B(L)$. Then there exists $b \in L$ such that $a \wedge b = 0$ and $a \vee b$ is maximal. Now, $(a) \cap (b) = (0)$ and $(a) \vee (b) = (a \vee b) = L$. Therefore I is complemented.

Given any filter F of an ADL L, define

```
\phi_F := \{(a, b) \in L \times L \mid x \wedge a = x \wedge b \text{ for some } x \in F\}.
```

Then ϕ_F is a congruence on L. We write $\phi_x := \{(a,b) \in L \times L \mid x \wedge a = x \wedge b\}$, for any $x \in L$.

The following is a routine verification.

Theorem 3.8. We have the following;

- (i) For any filters $F,~G~of~L,~\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle F}\cap\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle G}=\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle F\cap G}~and~\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle F}~o~\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle G}=\phi_{\scriptscriptstyle F\vee G}$
- (ii) For any $x \in L$, $\phi_{[x)} = \phi_x$, where $[x] := \{y \lor x \mid y \in L\}$
- (iii) For any $x \in L$, $\dot{\phi}_x = \Delta$ if and only if x is maximal
- (iv) For any $x \in L$, $\phi_x = L \times L$ if and only if x = 0.

A congruence θ on an ADL L is said to be a factor-congruence on L if there exists a congruence ϕ on L such that $\theta \cap \phi = \Delta$ and θ o $\phi = L \times L$; or, equivalently, $x \mapsto (\theta(x), \phi(x))$ is an isomorphism of L onto $L/\theta \times L/\phi$. In other words, factor congruences correspond to direct decompositions of L. The following gives a correspondence between factor congruences on L and elements of B(L) (see Theorem 3.2).

Theorem 3.9. A congruence θ on L is a factor-congruence if and only if $\theta = \phi_a$ for some $a \in B(L)$.

Proof. Suppose that θ is a factor-congruence on L. Then there exists a congruence ϕ on L such that $\theta \cap \phi = \Delta$ and θ o $\phi = L \times L$. Choose a maximal element m of L. Then $(m, 0) \in \theta$ o ϕ and hence there exists $b \in L$ such that $(m, b) \in \phi$

and $(b,0) \in \theta$. Also, $(0,m) \in \theta$ o ϕ and hence there exists $a \in L$ such that $(0,a) \in \phi$ and $(a,m) \in \theta$. Now, $(0,b \wedge a) \in \theta \cap \phi = \Delta$. Therefore $a \wedge b = 0$. Since $(m,b) \in \phi$, $(m,b \vee a) \in \phi$. Since $(b \vee a,a), (a,m) \in \theta$, $(m,b \vee a) \in \theta$. Therefore $(m,b \vee a) \in \theta \cap \phi$ and hence $b \vee a = m$, which is maximal. Thus $a \in B(L)$. Now, we will show that $\phi_a = \theta$. Let $x,y \in L$. If $(x,y) \in \phi_a$, then $a \wedge x = a \wedge y$. Since $(a,m) \in \theta$, we get that $(a \wedge x,x), (a \wedge y,y) \in \theta$. Since $a \wedge x = a \wedge y, (x,y) \in \theta$. Therefore $\phi_a \subseteq \theta$. If $(x,y) \in \theta$, then $(a \wedge x, a \wedge y) \in \theta$. Since $(0,a) \in \phi$, $(0,a \wedge x), (0,a \wedge y) \in \phi$. Therefore $(a \wedge x, a \wedge y) \in \phi \cap \theta = \Delta$ and hence $a \wedge x = a \wedge y$. Hence $(x,y) \in \phi_a$. Thus $\phi_a = \theta$ and $a \in B(L)$. Conversely, suppose that $\theta = \phi_a$ for some $a \in B(L)$. Then there exists $b \in L$ such that $a \wedge b = 0$ and $a \vee b$ is maximal. Now,

$$\phi_a \cap \phi_b = \phi_{[a)} \cap \phi_{[b)} = \phi_{[a) \cap [b)} = \phi_{[a \vee b)} = \phi_{a \vee b} = \Delta \text{ (since } a \vee b \text{ is maximal)}$$

$$\phi_a \circ \phi_b = \phi_{[a)} \circ \phi_{[b)} = \phi_{[a) \vee [b)} = \phi_{[a \wedge b)} = \phi_{a \wedge b} = \phi_0 = L \times L.$$
Therefore θ is a factor-congruence on L .

Corollary 3.10. L is relatively complemented if and only if ϕ_a is a factor congruence for every $a \in L$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The second author is thankful to Council of Scientific and Industrial Research for their financial support in the form of CSIR-SRF(NET).

References

- [1] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, 1967.
- [2] G.C. Rao, Almost distributive lattices Doctoral thesis, Department of Mathematics, Andhra University, Visakhapatnam, 1980.
- [3] Stanely Burris, and H.P. Sankappanavar, A course in universal algebra, Springer -Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [4] U.M. Swamy and G.C. Rao, Almost distributive latices, *J. Austral Math. Soc. (Series A)*, **31** (1981), 77-91.
- [5] U.M. Swamy, G.C. Rao, R.V.G. Ravi Kumar and Ch. Pragathi, Birkhoff centre of a poset, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics, 26 (2002), 509-516.
- [6] U.M. Swamy and G.S.N. Murthy, Boolean centre of a Universal Algebra, *Algebra Universalis*, **13** (1981), 202-205.

Received: December, 2008