

# Multiplication Operators Induced by Operator Valued Maps

B. S. Komal

Department of Mathematics  
University of Jammu, Jammu, India

Kuldip Raj

School of Applied Physics and Mathematics  
Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University  
Katra-182301, J & K, India  
kuldeep.raj@smvdu.ac.in

## Abstract

In this paper we characterize multiplication operators induced by operator valued measurable functions and obtain some criteria for compact and fredholm multiplication operators.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 47B20, Secodary 47B38

**Keywords:** Multiplication Operator, Compact operator, Fredholm operator, atomic measure space and non-atomic measure space

Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $(X, s, \mu)$  be a measure space. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let  $L^p(X, H) = \{f : f : X \rightarrow H \text{ is measurable and } \int_X \|f(\cdot)\|^p d\mu < \infty\}$ . Then  $L^p(X, H)$  is a Banach space under the norm,

$\|f\| = \left(\int_X \|f(\cdot)\|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  and for  $p = 2$ ,  $L^2(X, H)$  is a Hilbert space under the inner product,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_X \langle f(\cdot), g(\cdot) \rangle d\mu$$

let  $w : X \rightarrow B(H)$  be an operator valued measurable function. Then a bounded linear transformation,  $M_w : L^p(X, H) \rightarrow L^p(X, H)$  defined by  $(M_w f)(x) =$

$(w.f)(x) = w(x)(f(x))$  is called a multiplication operator induced by the operator valued map  $w$ .

Recently several authors have studied multiplication and weighted composition operators on different function spaces. For example, one can refer to [1], [2], [4] and [5]. For more details see [3]. In this paper we generalize the results of Takagi H and Yokouchi K [5]. In fact we characterize multiplication operators induced by operator-valued measurable functions. We also obtain some criteria for compact and Fredholm multiplication operators induced by operator-valued maps.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $w : X \rightarrow B(H)$  is an operator valued measurable function. Then  $M_w : L^p(X, H) \rightarrow L^p(X, H)$  is a bounded operator if and only if  $w \in L^\infty(X, B(H))$ . Moreover,  $\|M_w\| = \|w\|_\infty$ .

**Proof.** If  $w \in L^\infty(X, B(H))$ , then clearly

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_w f\|_p^p &= \int \|w(x)f(x)\|^p d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \int \|w(x)\|^p \|f(x)\|^p d\mu(x) \\ &\leq \|w\|_\infty^p \|f\|_p^p \quad \dots (i) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $M_w$  is a bounded operator.

Conversly, suppose  $M_w$  is a bounded operator we show that  $w \in L^\infty(X, B(H))$ . For, if this is not the case, then for every positive number  $\delta$ , such that the set

$$A = \{x : \|w(x)\| > \delta\} \text{ has a positive measure .}$$

We can easily find a subset  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $0 < \mu(B) < \infty$  and such that for every  $x \in B$  there exist a unit vector  $e_x \in H$  such that  $\|w(x)e_x\| > \delta$ . Taking

$$f = \frac{\chi_B g}{\sqrt{\mu(B)}}, \text{ where } g(x) = e_x.$$

for all  $x \in B$ . We see that,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_p^p &= \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B \|g(x)\|^p d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \cdot \mu(B) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

but

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_w f\|_p^p &= \int \|w(x)f(x)\|^p d\mu \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \int_B \|w(x)e_x\|^p d\mu \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &> \frac{1}{\mu(B)} \delta^p \mu(B) \\ &= \delta^p. \end{aligned}$$

This is true for every  $\delta > 0$ , which contradicts the continuity of  $M_w$ . Hence  $w$  must be essentially bounded. We now show that  $\|M_w\| = \|w\|_\infty$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$ , let

$$E = \{x \in X : \|w(x)\| > (\|w\|_\infty - \epsilon)\}.$$

Suppose  $E$  has a positive measure. We can easily find a measurable subset  $F$  of  $E$  such that  $0 < \mu(F) < \infty$ . Now, for every  $x \in F$ , there exists  $e_x \in H$  such that  $\|e_x\| = 1$  and  $\|w(x)e_x\| > \|w(x)\|_\infty - \epsilon$ . Let  $f = \chi_F e$ , where  $e : X \rightarrow H$  is defined by  $e(x) = e_x$ . Now

$$(\chi_F e)(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e_x}{\sqrt{\mu(F)}}, & \text{if } x \in F \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin F, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_w\| &\geq \|M_w f\|_p^p \\ &= \int_F \|w(x)f(x)\|^p d\mu(x) \\ &\geq (\|w(x)\|_\infty - \epsilon)^p \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\|M_w\| \geq \|w\|_\infty - \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary so,  $\|M_w\| \geq \|w\|_\infty$ . From equation (i), we have

$$\|M_w\| \leq \|w\|_\infty$$

Hence  $\|M_w\| = \|w\|_\infty$ , which completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.** For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let  $M_w \in C(L^p(X, H))$ . Then  $M_w$  is a compact operator if and only if the space

$$E_\epsilon = L^p(X/w(X, \epsilon), H) = \{f \in L^p(X, H) : f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \notin w(X, \epsilon)\}$$

is a finite dimensional for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , where  $w(X, \epsilon) = \{x \in X : \|w(x)g\| \geq \epsilon\|g\|, \text{ for every } g \in H\}$ .

**Proof.** It is easy to see that the subspace  $E_\epsilon$  is invariant under  $M_w$ . If  $M_w$  is a compact operator, then its restriction  $M_w/E_\epsilon$  to  $E_\epsilon$  is also compact operator. Moreover  $M_w/E_\epsilon : E_\epsilon \rightarrow E_\epsilon$  has closed range contained in  $E_\epsilon$ . Hence  $E_\epsilon$  is a finite dimensional space, since a closed subspace contained in the range of a compact operator must be finite dimensional. This proves the direct part of the theorem.

To prove the converse, let  $E_\epsilon$  be finite dimensional for each  $\epsilon > 0$ . In particular  $E_{\frac{1}{n}}$  is a finite dimensional space for each  $n \in N$ . Define

$$w_n(x) = \begin{cases} w(x), & \text{if } x \in w(X, \frac{1}{n}) \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin w(X, \frac{1}{n}), \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(M_{w_n} - M_w)f\|_p^p &= \int \|((w_n(x) - w(x))f(x))\|^p d\mu \\ &= \int_{w(X, \frac{1}{n})'} \|w(x)f(x)\|^p d\mu \\ &= \leq \int_{w(X, \frac{1}{n})'} \frac{1}{n^p} \|f(x)\|^p d\mu \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^p} \|f\|_p^p \\ &\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $M_{w_n} \rightarrow M_w$ . But each  $M_{w_n}$  is a finite rank operator. Therefore  $M_w$  must be a compact as the limit of the finite rank operators is a compact operator.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(X, s, \mu)$  be a non-atomic measure space. Then no non-zero multiplication operator on  $L^p(X, H)$  into itself is a compact operator.

**Proof.** If possible, suppose  $w \neq 0$  almost everywhere, then the set  $w(X, \epsilon) = \{x \in X : \|w(x)g\| \geq \epsilon\|g\|, \text{ for all } g \in H\}$  has positive measure. Since  $w(X, \epsilon)$  is a finite set, does not contain any atoms, so there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{E_n\}$  of subsets of  $w(X, \epsilon)$  such that  $0 < \mu(E_n) < \frac{1}{n}$  and the set  $\{\chi_{E_n} : n \in N\}$  spans an infinite dimensional subspace of  $E_\epsilon$  and therefore  $E_\epsilon$  is infinite dimensional, which contradicts the theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $(X, s, \mu)$  be a atomic measure space. Then  $M_w$  is compact if and only if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , the set  $w(X, \epsilon) = \{x : \|w(x)g\| \geq \epsilon\|g\| \text{ for all } g \in H\}$  is a finite set.

**Proof.** We first take  $M_w$  to be a compact operator and prove that the set  $w(X, \epsilon) = \{x : \|w(x)g\| \geq \epsilon\|g\|, \text{ for all } g \in H\}$  is a finite set. For, if the set  $w(X, \epsilon)$  is an infinite set, then let  $\{x_k\}$  be an infinite sequence of atoms in  $w(X, \epsilon)$ . For any  $k \in N$ , consider

$$f^k(\cdot) = \frac{\chi_{\{x_k\}}(\cdot)e_k}{\sqrt{\mu(x_k)}}$$

Then  $\{f^k\}$  is an infinite sequence in the closed unit ball of  $L^p(X, H)$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_w f^k - M_w f^j\| &= \int_X \|w(x)f^k(x) - w(x)f^j(x)\| d\mu \\ &\geq \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts the compactness of  $M_w$ .  
 Conversely, if the set

$$w(X, \frac{1}{n}) = \{x \in X : \|w(x)\| \geq \frac{1}{n}\} \text{ is finite .}$$

Let  $w_n = w/w(X, \frac{1}{n})$ . Then clearly  $M_{w_n}$  is a finite rank operator. Moreover,  $\|M_{w_n} - M_w\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence  $M_w$  is a compact operator.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $M_w \in C(L^p(X, H))$  and  $S = \{x \in H : w(x) \neq 0\}$ . Then  $M_w$  has closed range if and only if for every measurable subset  $E \in S$ , there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\|w(x)g\| \geq \epsilon\|g\|$  for  $\mu$ -almost all  $x \in E$  and for all  $g \in H$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $M_w$  has closed range. If the condition is false, then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can find a measurable set  $E_n$  of  $X$  with  $0 < \mu(E_n) < 1$  and a vector  $e_n \in H$  such that

$$\|w(x)e_n\| < \frac{1}{2^n}\|e_n\|$$

without loss of generality we can assume that  $\{E_n\}$  is a pairwise disjoint sequence of measurable sets. Let

$$g = \sum \frac{w \cdot \chi_{E_n} e_n}{\|e_n\| \sqrt[p]{\mu(E_n)}}.$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \int \|g(x)\|^p d\mu &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_n} \|w(x)e_n\|^p d\mu \\ &< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu(E_n)} \int_{E_n} \left|\frac{1}{2^n}\right|^p d\mu \\ &< \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{np}} \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\chi_{E_k}(\cdot) e_k}{\sqrt[p]{\mu(E_k)} \|e_k\|}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_w f_n - g\|_p^p &= \int \|w(x)f_n(x) - g(x)\|^p d\mu \\ &= \sum_{k>n} \frac{1}{\mu(E_k)} \int_{E_k} \left\|w(x) \frac{e_k}{\|e_k\|}\right\|^p d\mu \\ &< \sum_{k>n} \frac{1}{2^{kp}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $g \in \overline{\text{ran } M_w}$ . Therefore  $g = M_w f$  for some  $f \in L^p(X, H)$ . For  $x \in E_n$ , we have  $g(x) = w(x)f(x)$  which implies that  $f(x) = \frac{e_n}{\sqrt{\mu(E_n)}\|e_n\|}$  for all  $x \in E_n$

This contradicts the fact that  $f \in L^p(X, H)$ . Hence the condition must hold. Conversely, if the condition is true, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_w f\|_p^p &\geq \int_S \|w(x)f(x)\|^p d\mu(x) \\ &\geq \epsilon \int_S \|f(x)\|^p d\mu(x) \end{aligned} \quad \dots (i)$$

Suppose  $M_w f^{(n)} \rightarrow g$  for some sequence  $\{f^n\} \subset L^p(X, H)$ . Clearly

$$\|M_w f^n - M_w f^m\| \geq \epsilon \int_S \|f^n - f^m\|^p d\mu.$$

Now sequence  $\{f^n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^p(X, H)$ , where

$$\hat{f}^n(x) = \begin{cases} f^n(x), & \text{if } x \in S \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin S, \end{cases}$$

Therefore, there exists  $f \in L^p(X, H)$  such that  $\|\hat{f}^n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , so that  $M_w \hat{f}^n \rightarrow M_w f$  or equivalently  $M_w f^n \rightarrow M_w f$ , so that  $g = M_w f$ . This proves that  $M_w$  has closed range.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $(X, s, \mu)$  be a non-atomic measure space. Let  $M_w \in C(L^2(X, H))$ . Then  $M_w$  is Fredholm if and only if  $M_w$  is invertible.

**Proof.** If  $M_w$  is Fredholm, then for every measurable subset  $E$  of  $S$ , there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\|w(x)g\| \geq \epsilon\|g\|$  for all  $x \in E$ . if  $\mu(X \setminus E) > 0$ , then clearly  $M_w$  has finite dimensional kernel. Keeping this in mind we take  $X = E$ . For any  $g \in H$ ,  $\|w(x)w^{-1}(x)g\| \geq \|w^{-1}(x)g\|$  for all  $x \in E$  implies that  $M_{w^{-1}}$  is a bounded operator. Clearly  $M_{w^{-1}}$  is the inverse of  $M_w$ . Hence  $M_w$  is a invertible operator.

The converse part of the theorem is trivial.

**Theorem 7.** Let  $(X, s, \mu)$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite atomic measure space. Then  $M_w : L^2(X, H) \rightarrow L^2(X, H)$  is a Fredholm operator if and only if

(i) for every measurable subset  $E$  of  $\text{supp } w$  there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that  $\|w(x)g\| \geq \epsilon\|g\|$  for all  $x \in E$  and  $g \in H$ .

(ii)  $w(x)$  is one-to-one for all but finitely many  $x \in X$ .

**Proof.** Suppose  $M_w$  is Fredholm. In view of closed range of  $M_w$  the condition (i) follows from Theorem 6. We shall only prove the condition (ii). To prove this let  $w(x_k)$  be not one-to-one for infinitely many  $x_k \in X$ . We can easily find  $g_k \in H$  such that  $g_k \in \ker w(x_k)$ . Now  $\chi_{\{x_k\}} \in \ker M_w$  for infinitely  $k$ . So that  $\ker M_w$  becomes infinite dimensional, which is a contradiction. Hence  $w(x)$  is injective for all but finitely many  $x \in X$ .

The proof of the converse part is trivial.

## REFERENCES

1. Hornor, William E. and Jamison, James E. Weighted composition operators on Hilbert spaces of vector-valued functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 124(1996), 3123-3130.
2. Komal B.S. and Gupta S., Multiplication operators between Orlicz spaces, Integr. Eqns. Oper. Theory, 41(2001), 324-330.
3. Singh R.K. and Manhas J.S., Composition Operators on Function Spaces, North-Holland, 1993.
4. Takagi H., Fredholm weighted composition operators, Integ. eqns. Oper. theory, 16(1993,) 267-276.
5. Takagi H. and Yokouchi K., Multiplication and composition operators between two  $L^p$ -spaces, Contemporary Math., 232(1999), 321-338.

**Received: November 12, 2007**