

# On Total Dominating Sets in Graphs

Maryam Atapour and Nasrin Soltankhah<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Alzahra University  
Vanak Square, 19834 Tehran, Iran

## Abstract

A set  $S$  of vertices in a graph  $G(V, E)$  is called a dominating set if every vertex  $v \in V$  is either an element of  $S$  or is adjacent to an element of  $S$ . A set  $S$  of vertices in a graph  $G(V, E)$  is called a total dominating set if every vertex  $v \in V$  is adjacent to an element of  $S$ . The domination number of a graph  $G$  denoted by  $\gamma(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in  $G$ . Respectively the total domination number of a graph  $G$  denoted by  $\gamma_t(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set in  $G$ . An upper bound for  $\gamma_t(G)$  which has been achieved by Cockayne and et al. in [1] is: for any graph  $G$  with no isolated vertex and maximum degree  $\Delta(G)$  and  $n$  vertices,  $\gamma_t(G) \leq n - \Delta(G) + 1$ .

Here we characterize bipartite graphs and trees which achieve this upper bound. Further we present some another upper and lower bounds for  $\gamma_t(G)$ . Also, for circular complete graphs, we determine the value of  $\gamma_t(G)$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 05C69

**Keywords:** total dominating set, total domination number

## 1 Introduction

Let  $G(V, E)$  be a graph. For any vertex  $x \in V$ , we define the neighborhood of  $x$ , denoted by  $N(x)$ , as the set of all vertices adjacent to  $x$ . The closed neighborhood of  $x$ , denoted by  $N[x]$ , is the set  $N(x) \cup \{x\}$ . For a set of vertices  $S$ , we define  $N(S)$  as the union of  $N(x)$  for all  $x \in S$ , and  $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$ . The degree of a vertex is the size of its neighborhoods. The maximum degree of a graph  $G$  is denoted by  $\Delta(G)$  and the minimum degree is denoted by  $\delta(G)$ . Here  $n$  will denote the number of vertices of a graph  $G$ . A set  $S$  of vertices in a graph  $G(V, E)$  is called a dominating set if every vertex  $v \in V$  is either

---

<sup>1</sup>soltan@alzahra.ac.ir, soltankhah.n@gmail.com

an element of  $S$  or is adjacent to an element of  $S$ . A set  $S$  of vertices in a graph  $G(V, E)$  is called a total dominating set if every vertex  $v \in V$  is adjacent to an element of  $S$ . The domination number of a graph  $G$  denoted by  $\gamma(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set in  $G$ . Respectively the total domination number of a graph  $G$  denoted by  $\gamma_t(G)$  is the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set in  $G$ . clearly  $\gamma(G) \leq \gamma_t(G)$ , also it has been proved that  $\gamma_t(G) \leq 2\gamma(G)$ .

An upper bound for  $\gamma_t(G)$  has been achieved by Cockayne and et al. in [1] in the following theorems:

**THEOREM A** *If a graph  $G$  has no isolated vertices, then  $\gamma_t(G) \leq n - \Delta(G) + 1$ .*

**THEOREM B** *If  $G$  is a connected graph and  $\Delta(G) < n - 1$ , then  $\gamma_t(G) \leq n - \Delta(G)$*

As a result of the above theorems, if  $G$  is a graph with  $\gamma_t(G) = n - \Delta(G) + 1$ , then  $\Delta(G) \geq n - 1$ . Hence, if  $G$  is a  $k$ -regular graph and  $\gamma_t(G) = n - k + 1$ , then  $G$  is  $K_n$ . As a result of the above theorems, if  $G$  is a graph with  $\gamma_t(G) = n - \Delta(G) + 1$ , then  $\Delta(G) \geq n - 1$ . Hence, if  $G$  is a  $k$ -regular graph and  $\gamma_t(G) = n - k + 1$ , then  $G$  is  $K_n$ . Total domination and upper bounds on the total domination number in graphs were intensively investigated, see e. g. ([3], [4]).

Here we characterize bipartite graphs and trees which achieve the upper bound in Theorem A. Further we present some another upper and lower bounds for  $\gamma_t(G)$ . Also, for circular complete graphs, we determine the value of  $\gamma_t(G)$ .

It is easy to prove that for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\gamma_t(C_n) = \gamma_t(P_n) = \frac{n}{2}$  if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $\gamma_t(C_n) = \gamma_t(P_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1$  otherwise.

for the definitions and notations not defined here we refer the reader to texts, such as [2].

## 2 Other bounds for $\gamma_t(G)$

In this section we introduce some other upper bounds for  $\gamma_t(G)$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $G$  be a connected graph, then  $\gamma_t(G) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{\Delta(G)} \rceil$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $S \subseteq V(G)$  be a total dominating set in  $G$ . Every vertex in  $S$  dominates at most  $\Delta(G) - 1$  vertices of  $V(G) - S$  and dominate at least one of the vertices in  $S$ . Hence,  $|S|(\Delta(G) - 1) + |S| \geq n$ . Since,  $S$  is an arbitrary total dominating set, then  $\gamma_t(G) \geq \lceil \frac{n}{\Delta(G)} \rceil$ . ■

If  $G = K_n$ ,  $G = C_{4n}$ , or  $G = P_{4n}$  then  $\gamma_t(G) = \lceil \frac{n}{\Delta(G)} \rceil$ . so the above bound is sharp.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $\text{diam}(G) = 2$  then,  $\gamma_t(G) \leq \delta(G) + 1$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $x \in V(G)$  and  $\text{deg}(x) = \delta(G)$ . Since,  $\text{diam}(G) = 2$ , then  $N(x)$  is a dominating set for  $G$ .

Now  $S = N(x) \cup \{x\}$  is a total dominating set for  $G$  and  $|S| = \delta(G) + 1$ . Hence,  $\gamma_t(G) \leq \delta(G) + 1$ . ■

As we know,  $\gamma_t(C_5) = 3$  and also  $\delta(C_5) = 2$ ,  $\text{diam}(C_5) = 2$  then  $\gamma_t(C_5) = \delta(C_5) + 1$ . Hence, the above bound is sharp.

**Theorem 2.3** *If  $G$  is a connected graph with the girth of length  $g(G) \geq 5$  and  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ , then  $\gamma_t(G) \leq n - \lceil \frac{g(G)}{2} \rceil + 1$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $G$  be a connected graph with  $g(G) \geq 5$  and let  $C$  be a cycle of length  $g(G)$ . Remove  $C$  from  $G$  to form a graph  $G'$ . Suppose an arbitrary vertex  $v \in V(G')$ , since  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ , then  $v$  has at least two neighbors say  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  $x, y \in C$ . If  $d(x, y) \geq 3$ , then replacing the path from  $x$  to  $y$  on  $C$  with the path  $x, v, y$  reduces the girth of  $G$ , a contradiction. If  $d(x, y) \leq 2$ , then  $x, y, v$  are on either  $C_3$  or  $C_4$  in  $G$ , contradicting the hypothesis that  $g(G) \geq 5$ . Hence, no vertex in  $G'$  has two or more neighbors on  $C$ . Since  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ , the graph  $G'$  has minimum degree at least  $\delta(G) - 1 \geq 1$ . Then  $G'$  has no isolated vertex. Now let  $S'$  be a  $\gamma_t$ -set for  $C$ . Then  $S = S' \cup V(G')$  is a total dominating set for  $G$ . Hence,  $\gamma_t(G) \leq n - \lceil \frac{g(G)}{2} \rceil + 1$  (note that  $\gamma_t(C) \leq \lfloor \frac{g(G)}{2} \rfloor + 1$ ). ■

### 3 Bipartite graphs with $\gamma_t(G) = n - \Delta(G) + 1$

In this section we characterize the bipartite graphs achieving the upper bound in the theorem A.

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph with no isolated vertices. Then  $\gamma_t(G) = n - \Delta(G) + 1$  if and only if  $G$  is a graph in form of  $K_{1,t} \cup rK_2$  for  $r \geq 0$ .*

**Proof:** If  $G$  is  $K_{1,t} \cup rK_2$  ( $r \geq 0$ ), clearly  $\gamma_t(G) = n - \Delta(G) + 1$ . Now let  $G$  be a bipartite graph with partitions  $A \cup B$  and  $x \in A$  where  $\text{deg}(x) = \Delta(G) = t$ . We continue our proof in four stages:

**Stage 1:** We claim that for every vertex  $y \in A - \{x\}$ ,  $N(y) - N(x) \neq \emptyset$ . If it is not true, there exists a vertex in  $A - \{x\}$ , say  $y$ , such that  $N(y) \subseteq N(x)$ . So let  $u \in N(y)$ , the set  $S = V - (N(x) \cup \{y\}) \cup \{u\}$  is a total dominating set and  $|S| = n - \Delta(G)$ , a contradiction. So we have  $n \geq 2|A| + \Delta(G) - 1$ .

**Stage 2:** For every vertex  $y \in A$ , let  $u_y \in N(y)$ . Clearly the set  $S = A \cup (\cup_{y \in A} \{u_y\})$  is a total dominating set for  $G$  and  $|S| \leq 2|A|$ , so  $\gamma_t(G) \leq 2|A|$ . Now let  $y \in A - \{x\}$  such that  $|N(y) - N(x)| \geq 2$ . Hence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
n &\geq 2|A| + \Delta(G) \\
\Rightarrow \gamma_t(G) + \Delta(G) - 1 &\geq 2|A| + \Delta(G) \\
\Rightarrow \gamma_t(G) &\geq 2|A| + 1,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence, for every vertex  $y \in A - \{x\}$ ,  $|N(y) - N(x)| = 1$ .

**Stage 3:** Let  $y \in A - \{x\}$  and  $N(y) \cap N(x) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $u \in N(y) \cap N(x)$ . Now,  $S = (V - N(x) \cup \{y\}) \cup \{u\}$  is a total dominating set and  $|S| = n - \Delta(G)$ . So,  $\gamma_t(G) \leq n - \Delta(G)$ , a contradiction.

**Stage 4:** Let  $y, z \in A - \{x\}$  and  $N(y) \cap N(z) \neq \emptyset$ . Now  $S = (V - (\{z\} \cup N(x))) \cup \{u\}$ , where  $u \in N(x)$ , is a total dominating set and  $|S| = n - \Delta(G)$ . So,  $\gamma_t(G) \leq n - \Delta(G)$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $G$  is a graph in form of  $K_{1,t} \cup rK_2$ .  $\blacksquare$

**COROLLARY 3.1** *Let  $T$  is a Tree. Then  $\gamma_t(T) = n - \Delta(T) + 1$  if and only if  $T$  is a star.*

## 4 Total domination numbers of circular complete graphs

If  $n$  and  $d$  are positive integers with  $n \geq 2d$ , then circular complete graph  $K_{n,d}$  is the graph with vertex set  $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$  in which  $v_i$  is adjacent to  $v_j$  if and only if  $d \leq |i - j| \leq n - d$ . In this section we determine the total domination of circular complete graphs. It is easy to see that  $K_{n,1}$  is the complete graph  $K_n$  and  $K_{n,2}$  is a circle on  $n$  vertices, therefore we assume that  $d \geq 3$ .

**Theorem 4.5** *For  $n \geq 4d - 2$  and  $d \geq 3$ ,  $\gamma_t(K_{n,d}) = 2$ .*

**Proof:** Clearly,  $\gamma_t(K_{n,d}) \geq 2$ . Let  $S = \{v_0, v_{2d-1}\}$ . We will show that  $S$  is a total dominating set for  $K_{n,d}$ . Since  $n \geq 4d - 2$  and  $2d - 1 \leq 2d$ , then  $2d - 1 \leq n - d$ . Also  $2d - 1 \geq d$  since  $d \geq 3$ . Thus  $d \leq 2d - 1 \leq n - d$  and  $v_0 v_{2d-1} \in E(K_{n,d})$ . By definition of  $K_{n,d}$ ,  $v_0$  is adjacent to each of the vertices  $v_d, v_{d+1}, \dots, v_{n-d}$ .

Now for each  $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$  we have

$$n - d + i - (2d - 1) = n - 3d + i + 1 \geq 4d - 2 - 3d + i + 1 \geq d$$

and

$$n - d + i - (2d - 1) = n - 3d + i + 1 \leq n - 3d + d = n - 2d < n - d.$$

Thus  $v_{2d-1}$  is adjacent to each of the vertices  $v_{n-d+1}, \dots, v_{n-1}$ . On the other hand, for each  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$  we have

$$2d-1-i \leq 2d-2 \leq 3d-2 \leq n-d$$

and

$$2d-1-i \geq 2d-1-d+1 = d.$$

Hence  $v_{2d-1}$  is adjacent to each of the vertices  $v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{d-1}$  and so  $S$  is a total dominating set for  $K_{n,d}$  and  $\gamma_t(K_{n,d}) = 2$ . ■

**Theorem 4.6** For  $3d \leq n \leq 4d-3$  and  $d \geq 3$ ,  $\gamma_t(K_{n,d}) = 3$ .

**Proof:** Let  $S = \{v_0, v_d, v_{2d-1}\}$ . We prove that  $S$  is a  $\gamma_t(K_{n,d})$ -set. Since  $d \leq 2d-2 \leq n-d$ ,  $G[S]$  contains no isolated vertices. Clearly  $v_0$  and  $v_d$  are adjacent to each of the vertices  $v_d, v_{d+1}, \dots, v_{n-d}$  and  $v_{2d}, v_{2d+1}, \dots, v_{n-d}$  respectively. For  $1 \leq i \leq d-1$  we have

$$2d-1-i \leq 2d-1-d+1 = d$$

and

$$2d-1-i \leq 2d-2 \leq 2d \leq n-d$$

Thus  $v_{2d-1}$  is adjacent to each of the vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d-1}$ . Hence  $S$  is a total dominating set for  $K_{n,d}$  and so  $\gamma_t(K_{n,d}) \leq 3$ . Now we prove that there is no total dominating set for  $K_{n,d}$  of size 2. Let  $S' = \{u, v\}$  be a  $\gamma_t(K_{n,d})$ -set. Without loss of generality, let  $u = v_0$  and  $v = v_j$ . Clearly  $d \leq j \leq n-d$ . Since  $v_0 v_{n-d+1} \notin E(K_{n,d})$ ,  $d \leq n-d+1-j \leq n-d$  and so  $1 \leq j \leq d+1$ . Thus  $j = d$  or  $j = d+1$ . In both cases,  $S'$  is not a total dominating set since  $v_2, v_3, \dots, v_{d-1}$  are not dominated by  $S'$  a contradiction. This completes the proof. ■

## References

- [1] E.J. COCKAYNE, R.M. DAWES AND S.T. HEDETNIEMI, *Total dominations in graphs*, Networks, **10** (1980), 211–219.
- [2] T.W. HAYNES AND S.T. HEDETNIEMI, *Funamentals domination in graphs*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [3] M.A. HENNING AND A. YEO, *A new upper bound on the total domination number of a graph*, Electronic J. of Combinatorics, **14** (2007), #R65.
- [4] P.C.B. LAM AND B. WEI, *On the total domination number of graphs*, Utilitas Math., **72** (2007), 223–240.

Received: July, 2008