

International Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences
Vol. 11, 2016, no. 6, 281 - 292
HIKARI Ltd, www.m-hikari.com
<http://dx.doi.org/10.12988/ijcms.2016.6314>

Delta Generalized Pre-Closed Sets in Topological Spaces

S. S. Benchalli

Department of Mathematics
Karnatak University, Dharwad-580 003
Karnataka, India

J. B. Toranagatti ¹

Department of Mathematics
Karnatak University's, Karnatak College
Dharwad-580 001, Karnataka, India

Copyright © 2016 S. S. Benchalli and J. B. Toranagatti. This article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

In this paper, a new class of sets called delta generalized pre-closed sets in topological spaces is introduced and some of their basic properties are investigated. This new class of sets lies between the class of gp-closed sets and the class of gpr-closed sets. Further the notions of δ gp-neighbourhood, δ gp-closure and δ gp-interior are introduced and their properties are discussed. Several examples are provided to illustrate the behavior of new sets.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54A10

Keywords: pre-closed set, δ gp-closed set, δ gp-open set, δ gp-neighbourhood, δ gp-closure, δ gp-interior

¹Corresponding author

1 Introduction

The concept of generalized closed sets introduced by Levine [8] plays a significant role in general topology. After the introduction of generalized closed sets many research papers were published which deal with different types of generalized closed sets. Y. Gnanambal [7] introduced the concept of gpr-closed set and investigated its basic properties. H. Maki et al. [11] defined the concept of gp-closed set in topological spaces and established results related to it. These concepts motivated us to define a new class of sets called the delta generalized pre-closed sets.

Throughout this paper, (X, τ) (or simply X) represents topological space on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. For a subset A of a space (X, τ) , we denote the closure of A , the interior of A and complement of A as $cl(A)$, $int(A)$ and A^c respectively.

2 Preliminaries

Let us recall the following definitions which are useful in the sequel

Definition 2.1 A subset A of a topological space X is called,

- (i) pre-open [12] if $A \subseteq int(cl(A))$ and Pre-closed if $cl(int(A)) \subseteq A$.
- (ii) α -open [13] if $A \subseteq int(cl(int(A)))$ and α -closed if $cl(int(cl(A))) \subseteq A$.
- (iii) b -open [2] if $A \subseteq cl(int(A)) \cup int(cl(A))$ and b -closed if $cl(int(A)) \cap int(cl(A)) \subseteq A$.
- (iv) regular-open [14] if $A = int(cl(A))$ and regular-closed if $A = cl(int(A))$.
- (v) δ -closed [15] if $A = cl_\delta(A)$ where $cl_\delta(A) = \{x \in X : int(cl(U)) \cap A \neq \emptyset, U \in \tau \text{ and } x \in U\}$.

The pre-closure of a subset A of X , denoted by $pcl(A)$ is the intersection of all pre-closed sets containing A . The pre-interior of a subset A of X , denoted by $pint(A)$ is the union of all pre-open sets contained in A .

Definition 2.2 A subset A of a topological space X is called,

- (i) generalized closed (briefly, g -closed) [8] if $cl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever $A \subseteq G$ and G is open in X .
- (ii) generalized α -closed (briefly, $g\alpha$ -closed) [9] if $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever $A \subseteq G$ and G is α -open in X .
- (iii) α -generalized closed (briefly, αg -closed) [10] if $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever $A \subseteq G$ and G is open in X .
- (iv) generalized pre-closed (briefly, gp -closed) [11] if $pcl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever $A \subseteq G$ and G is open in X .
- (v) generalized preregular closed (briefly, gpr -closed) [7] if $pcl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever $A \subseteq G$ and G is regular open in X .
- (vi) δ -generalized closed (briefly, δg -closed) [6] if $\delta cl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever $A \subseteq G$ and G is open in X .

(vii) generalized b -closed (briefly, gb -closed)[1] if $bcl(A) \subseteq G$ whenever $A \subseteq G$ and G is open in X .

The complements of the above mentioned closed sets are their respective open sets.

Definition 2.3 A topological space X is said to be

- (1) $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ space[8] if every g -closed subset of X is closed.
- (2) T_{gs} -space[5] if every gp -closed subset of X is pre-closed.
- (3) pre regular $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space[7] if every gpr -closed subset of X is pre-closed.

3 Delta Generalized Pre-Closed Sets.

Definition 3.1 A subset A of a topological space X is called a delta generalized pre-closed (briefly, δgp -closed) set if $pcl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is δ -open in X .

The family of all δgp -closed sets in a topological space X is denoted by $\delta GPC(X)$.

Example 3.2 Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$ and topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$, then $\delta GPC(X) = \{X, \phi, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}\}$.

Theorem 3.3 Every pre-closed set is δgp -closed but not conversely.

Proof: Let A be a pre-closed set in X such that $A \subseteq G$ where G is δ -open in X . Since A is pre-closed, then $pcl(A) = A$. Therefore $pcl(A) \subseteq G$.

Example 3.4 Consider $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with the topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Let $A = \{a, c\}$, then A is δgp -closed but not pre-closed.

Theorem 3.5 Every regular-closed (resp, δ -closed, closed, α -closed and $g\alpha$ -closed) set is δgp -closed but not conversely.

Proof: Follow from the following implications and Theorem 3.3
regular-closed \rightarrow δ -closed[15] \rightarrow closed \rightarrow α -closed[13] \rightarrow $g\alpha$ -closed[9] \rightarrow pre-closed.

Theorem 3.6 Every gp -closed set is δgp -closed but not conversely.

Proof: Follows from the fact that every δ -open set is open[15].

Example 3.7 In Example 3.4, the subset $\{a, c\}$ is δgp -closed but not gp -closed.

Theorem 3.8 Every δg -closed (resp, g -closed, αg -closed) set is δgp -closed but not conversely.

Proof: Since every δg -closed set is g -closed [6], every g -closed set is αg -closed [10] and every αg -closed set is gp -closed [11]. Hence from Theorem 3.6, the proof follows.

Theorem 3.9 Every δgp -closed set is gpr -closed but not conversely.

Proof: Follows from the fact that every regular open set is δ -open [15].

Example 3.10 In Example 3.4, the subset $\{a, b\}$ is gpr -closed but not δgp -closed.

Remark 3.11 The following examples show that δgp -closed set is independent of b -closed and gb -closed sets.

Example 3.12 In Example 3.4, the subset $\{a\}$ is b -closed and hence gb -closed but not δgp -closed.

Example 3.13 In Example 3.4, the subset $\{a, b, c\}$ is δgp -closed but not gb -closed and hence not b -closed.

Theorem 3.14 Let $B \subseteq X$ be a δgp -closed set, then $pcl(B) - B$ contains no non empty δ -closed set.

Proof: Let B be a δgp -closed set and M be a δ -closed set in X such that $M \subseteq pcl(B) - B$, then $M \subseteq pcl(B)$ and $M \subseteq X - B$ implies $B \subseteq X - M$. Now, B is δgp -closed and $X - M$ is a δ -open set containing B , it follows that $pcl(B) \subseteq X - M$ and thus $M \subseteq X - pcl(B)$. This implies $M \subseteq pcl(B) \cap (X - pcl(B)) = \phi$ and hence $M = \phi$.

Theorem 3.15 Let $A \subseteq X$ be a δgp -closed set. Then A is pre-closed if and only if $pcl(A) - A$ is δ -closed.

Proof: Let A be a pre-closed set, then we have $pcl(A) - A = \phi$ which is δ -closed. Conversely, Suppose that $pcl(A) - A$ is δ -closed. Now A is δgp -closed and since $pcl(A) - A$ is a δ -closed subset of itself, then by Theorem 3.14, $pcl(A) - A = \phi$. This implies that $pcl(A) = A$ and so A is pre-closed.

Theorem 3.16 If $A \subseteq X$ is both δ -open and δgp -closed, then A is pre-closed in X .

Proof: Let A be δ -open δgp -closed set in X , then $pcl(A) \subseteq A$. But $A \subseteq pcl(A)$ is always true. Therefore $pcl(A) = A$ and hence A is pre-closed.

Theorem 3.17 If $A \subseteq X$ is a δgp -closed set and $A \subseteq B \subseteq pcl(A)$, then B is δgp -closed in X .

Proof: Let G be a δ -open set in X such that $B \subseteq G$, then $A \subseteq G$. Since A is δgp -closed, then $pcl(A) \subseteq G$. Now, $pcl(B) \subseteq pcl(pcl(A)) = pcl(A) \subseteq G$. So $pcl(B) \subseteq G$.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.18 Consider $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with the topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Let $A = \{a, b\}$ and $B = \{a, b, d\}$, then $A \subseteq B \subseteq pcl(A)$ and B is δgp -closed in X but A is not δgp -closed in X .

Theorem 3.19 Let Y be an open subspace of a space X and $A \subseteq Y$. If A is δgp -closed in X , then A is δgp -closed in Y .

Proof: Let G be a δ -open set of Y such that $A \subseteq G$. Then $G = Y \cap H$ for some δ -open set H of X . Since A is δgp -closed in X , we have $pcl(A) \subseteq H$ and $pcl_Y(A) = Y \cap pcl(A) \subseteq Y \cap H = G$. Hence A is δgp -closed in Y .

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 3.20 In Example 3.18, let $Y = \{a, b, c\}$ and $A = \{a, b\}$, then $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ and A is δgp -closed relative to Y but it is not δgp -closed relative to X .

Remark 3.21 Union of two δgp -closed sets need not be δgp -closed.

Example 3.22 Consider $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with the topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}\}$. Let $A = \{a\}$ and $B = \{a\}$, then A and B are δgp -closed sets in X but $A \cup B = \{a, b\}$ is not δgp -closed in X .

Remark 3.23 Intersection of two δgp -closed sets need not be δgp -closed.

Example 3.24 Consider $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ with the topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. Let $A = \{a, d\}$ and $B = \{a, e\}$, then A and B are δgp -closed sets in X but $A \cap B = \{a\}$ is not δgp -closed in X .

Lemma 3.25 [7]: For any set A of X , if $D(A) \subseteq D_p(A)$ where $D_p(A)$ is pre-derived set of A , then $cl(A) = pcl(A)$.

Theorem 3.26 If A and B are δgp -closed sets such that $D(A) \subseteq D_p(A)$ and $D(B) \subseteq D_p(B)$, then $A \cup B$ is δgp -closed.

Proof: Let A and B be δgp -closed subsets of X such that $D(A) \subseteq D_p(A)$ and $D(B) \subseteq D_p(B)$, then by Lemma 3.25, $cl(A) = pcl(A)$ and $cl(B) = pcl(B)$. Let $A \cup B \subseteq G$ where G is a δ -open in X , then $A \subseteq G$ and $B \subseteq G$. Since A and B are δgp -closed, then $pcl(A) \subseteq G$ and $pcl(B) \subseteq G$. Now, $pcl(A \cup B) \subseteq cl(A \cup B) = cl(A) \cup cl(B) = pcl(A) \cup pcl(B) \subseteq G \cup G = G$. Thus $pcl(A \cup B) \subseteq G$ whenever $A \cup B \subseteq G$ and G is δ -open in X and hence $A \cup B$ is δgp -closed.

Theorem 3.27 For a space X , the following statements are equivalent:

(i) $\delta O(X) \subseteq \{F \subseteq X : F \text{ is pre-closed}\}$

(ii) Every subset of X is δgp -closed

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii): Let A be any subset of X such that $A \subseteq G$ where G is δ -open in X , then by (i), $G \in \{B \subseteq X : B \text{ is pre-closed}\}$ and hence $pcl(A) \subseteq G$ which

implies A is δ gp-closed.

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Let $G \in \delta O(X)$. Then by (ii), G is δ gp-closed and since $G \subseteq G$, then $pcl(G) \subseteq G$ but $G \subseteq pcl(G)$ is always true. Therefore $pcl(G) = G$ and hence $G \in \{F \subseteq X : F \text{ is pre-closed}\}$.

Theorem 3.28 For a space X , the following statements are equivalent:

(i) Every δ gp-closed set is $g\delta$ -closed

(ii) Every pre-closed set is $g\delta$ -closed.

Proof: (i) \rightarrow (ii) : Follows from the Theorem 3.3.

(ii) \rightarrow (i): Let A be a δ gp-closed set in X such that $A \subseteq G$ where G is δ -open in X , then $pcl(A) \subseteq G$. Since $pcl(A)$ is pre-closed, then by (ii), $pcl(A)$ is $g\delta$ -closed. Therefore $cl(A) \subseteq cl(pcl(A)) \subseteq G$. That is $cl(A) \subseteq G$.

Theorem 3.29 For any $x \in X$, the set $X - \{x\}$ is δ gp-closed or δ -open.

Proof: Suppose $X - \{x\}$ is not δ -open, then X is the only δ -open set containing $X - \{x\}$. This implies $pcl(X - \{x\}) \subseteq X$. Hence $X - \{x\}$ is δ gp-closed.

Theorem 3.30 If A is δ gp-closed, then $cl_\delta\{x\} \cap A \neq \phi$, for every $x \in pcl(A)$.

Proof: Let $x \in pcl(A)$. Suppose that $cl_\delta\{x\} \cap A = \phi$, then $A \subseteq X - cl_\delta\{x\}$ and $X - cl_\delta\{x\}$ is δ -open. Since A is δ gp-closed, then $pcl(A) \subseteq X - cl_\delta\{x\}$ so, $x \notin pcl(A)$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $cl_\delta\{x\} \cap A \neq \phi$.

Definition 3.31 [3]. The intersection of all δ -open subsets of X containing A is called the δ kernel of A and it is denoted by $ker_\delta(A)$.

Theorem 3.32 A subset A of a space A is δ gp-closed if and only if $pcl(A) \subseteq ker_\delta(A)$.

Proof: Suppose that A is δ gp-closed set in X such that $x \in pcl(A)$. If possible, let $x \notin ker_\delta(A)$, then there exists a δ -open set G in X such that $A \subseteq G$ and $x \notin G$. Since A is a δ gp-closed set in X , $pcl(A) \subseteq G$ implies $x \in pcl(A)$ which is a contradiction.

Conversely, let $pcl(A) \subseteq ker_\delta(A)$ be true and G is a δ -open set containing A , then $ker_\delta(A) \subseteq G$, which implies $pcl(A) \subseteq G$. Hence A is δ gp-closed.

4 Delta Generalized Pre-Open Sets.

Definition 4.1 A subset A of a topological space X is called a delta generalized pre-open (briefly, δ gp-open) set if A^c is δ gp-closed.

Theorem 4.2 A set $A \subseteq X$ is δ gp-open if and only if $G \subseteq pint(A)$ whenever G is δ -closed and $G \subseteq A$.

Proof: Let A be a δ gp-open set and suppose $G \subseteq A$ where G is δ -closed. Then $X - A$ is a δ gp-closed set contained in the δ -open set $X - G$, $pcl(X - A) \subseteq X - G$. Since $pcl(X - A) = X - pint(A)$ [9], then $X - pint(A) \subseteq X - G$. That is $G \subseteq pint(A)$.

Conversely, let $G \subseteq \text{pint}(A)$ be true whenever $G \subseteq A$ and G is δ -closed, then $X - \text{pint}(A) \subseteq X - G$. That is $\text{pcl}(X - A) \subseteq X - G$. This implies $X - A$ is δ gp-closed and A is δ gp-open in X .

Theorem 4.3 If A is δ gp-open and B is any set in X such that $\text{pint}(A) \subseteq B \subseteq A$, then B is δ gp-open in X .

Proof: Follows from the definition and Theorem 3.17.

Theorem 4.4 If A is δ gp-open and B is any set in X such that $\text{pint}(A) \subseteq B$, then $A \cap B$ is δ gp-open in X .

Proof: Let A be a δ gp-open set of X and $\text{pint}(A) \subseteq B$, then $A \cap \text{pint}(A) \subseteq A \cap B \subseteq A$. Since $\text{pint}(A) \subseteq A$, then $\text{pint}(A) \subseteq A \cap B \subseteq A$ and from Theorem 4.3, $A \cap B$ is δ gp-open in X .

Lemma 4.5 For any set A , $\text{pint}(\text{pcl}(A) - A) = \phi$

Theorem 4.6 If a set $A \subseteq X$ is δ gp-closed, then $\text{pcl}(A) - A$ is δ gp-open in X .
Proof: Suppose that A is δ gp-closed and M is δ -closed such that $M \subseteq \text{bcl}(A) - A$, then by Theorem 3.14, $M = \phi$ and hence $M \subseteq \text{pint}(\text{pcl}(A) - A)$. Therefore by Theorem 4.2, $\text{pcl}(A) - A$ is δ gp-open.

Definition 4.7 [3] Let A and B be two non void subsets of a topological space X . Then A and B are said to be δ separated if $A \cap \delta \text{cl}(B) = \delta \text{cl}(A) \cap B = \phi$.

Theorem 4.8 If A and B are δ separated δ gp-open sets, then $A \cup B$ is δ gp-open.

Proof: Let F be a δ -closed subset of $A \cup B$. Then $F \cap \delta \text{cl}(A) \subseteq (A \cup B) \cap \delta \text{cl}(A) = (A \cap \delta \text{cl}(A)) \cup (B \cap \delta \text{cl}(A)) = A \cup \phi = A$. That is, $F \cap \delta \text{cl}(A) \subseteq A$. Therefore $F \cap \delta \text{cl}(A)$ is a δ -closed set contained in A and A is δ gp-open, then by Theorem 4.2, $F \cap \delta \text{cl}(A) \subseteq \text{pint}(A)$. Similarly $F \cap \delta \text{cl}(B) \subseteq \text{pint}(B)$. Thus we have $F = F \cap (A \cup B) = (F \cap A) \cup (F \cap B) \subseteq (F \cap \delta \text{cl}(A)) \cup (F \cap \delta \text{cl}(B)) \subseteq \text{pint}(A) \cup \text{pint}(B) \subseteq \text{pint}(A \cup B)$. That is $F \subseteq \text{pint}(A \cup B)$. Hence by Theorem 4.2, $A \cup B$ is δ gp-open.

5 Some Related Separation Axioms.

Definition 5.1 A topological space X is said to be δ gp $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space if every δ gp-closed subset of X is pre-closed.

Theorem 5.2 : A space X is δ gp $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space if and only if every singleton set is either δ -closed or pre-open.

Proof: Necessity: Let $x \in X$. If $\{x\}$ is not δ -closed, then $X - \{x\}$ is not δ -open.

By Theorem 3.29, $X-\{x\}$ is δgp -closed. Since X is $\delta gp T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, $X-\{x\}$ is pre-closed.

Therefore $\{x\}$ is pre-open.

Sufficiency: Let $A \subseteq X$ be δgp -closed and $x \in pcl(A)$.

Suppose that $x \notin A$, thus $A \subseteq X-\{x\}$.

Case i) If $\{x\}$ is δ -closed then $X-\{x\}$ is δ -open. Since A is δgb -closed, $pcl(A) \subseteq X-\{x\}$, then $x \notin pcl(A)$ which is a contradiction.

Case ii) If $\{x\}$ is pre-open then $X-\{x\}$ pre-closed. Therefore $pcl(A) \subseteq X-\{x\}$. This implies that $x \notin pcl(A)$, also a contradiction. So $pcl(A) \subseteq A$. Therefore $pcl(A) = A$.

Theorem 5.3 : A space X is $\delta gp T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space if and only if $PO(X) = \delta GPO(X)$.

Theorem 5.4 : Every $\delta gp T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space is T_{gs} space but not conversely.

Proof: Let X be $\delta gp T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space and A be gp -closed. Since every gp -closed set is δgp -closed [Theorem 3.6], then A is pre-closed.

Example 5.5 Consider $X = \{a, b, c\}$ with the topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then X is T_{gs} space but not $\delta gp T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space, since $\{a\}$ is δgp -closed but not pre-closed.

Theorem 5.6 : Every pre regular $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space is $\delta gp T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof: Follows from Theorem 3.9.

Example 5.7 In Example 3.22, X is $\delta gp T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space but not pre regular $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space, since $\{a, b, c, d\}$ is gpr -closed but not pre-closed.

Remark 5.8 The following examples show that $\delta gp T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space is independent of $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

Example 5.9 (i) In Example 3.22, X is $\delta gp T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space but not $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space, since $\{a, b, c, e\}$ is g -closed but not closed.

(ii) In example 5.5, X is $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space but not $\delta gp T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space, since $\{a\}$ is δgp -closed but not pre-closed.

Definition 5.10 A topological space X is said to be $T_{\delta gp}$ -space if every δgp -closed subset of X is closed.

Theorem 5.11 : Every $T_{\delta gp}$ -space is $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof: Let X be $T_{\delta gp}$ -space. Let A be g -closed, since every g -closed set is δgp -closed then A is closed. Therefore X is $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

Example 5.12 In Example 5.5, X is $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space but not $T_{\delta gp}$ -space, since $\{a\}$ is δgp -closed but not closed.

Theorem 5.13 :Every $T_{\delta gp}$ -space is $\delta gp T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space but not conversely.

Proof: Let X be $T_{\delta gp}$ -space and A be δgp -closed, then A is closed. Therefore A is pre-closed and hence X is $\delta gb T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space.

Example 5.14 In Example 3.22, X is $\delta gp T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space but not $T_{\delta gp}$ -space, since $\{a\}$ is δgp -closed but not closed.

6 Related Nbhds, Closure and Interior.

Definition 6.1 A subset M of a topological space X is called δgp - neighbourhood (briefly, δgp -nbhd) of a point $x \in X$, if there exists a δgp -open set U such that $x \in U \subseteq M$.

The collection of all δgp -nbhds of $x \in X$ is called δgb -nbhd system of x and is denoted by $\delta gbN(x)$.

Theorem 6.2 Every nbhd A of a point $x \in X$ is δgp -nbhd of that point.

Proof: Follows from the definition and the fact that every open set is δgp -open.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 6.3 Consider $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with the topology $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$. The subset $\{c, d\}$ is a δgb -nbhd of $c \in X$ but it is not a nbhd of c , as there is no open set G such that $c \in G \subseteq \{c, d\}$.

Theorem 6.4 If A is δgp -open set, then it is δgb -nbhd of each of its points.

Proof: Let A be any δgp -open set of X , then for each $x \in A$, $x \in A \subseteq A$. Therefore A is δgp -nbhd of each of its points.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

Example 6.5 In Example 6.3, $\{c, d\}$ is δgp -nbhd of each of its points but it is not δgb -open.

Theorem 6.6 If $A \subseteq X$ is a δgp -closed set and $x \in A^C$, then there exists a δgp -nbhd F of x such that $F \cap A = \phi$.

Proof: Let $A \subseteq X$ be a δgp -closed set, then A^C is δgp -open. Therefore, By Theorem 6.4, A^C is δgp -nbhd of each of its points. Let $x \in A^C$ then there exists a δgp -open set F such that $x \in F \subseteq A^C$. That is, $F \cap A = \phi$.

Theorem 6.7 Let x be a point in a space X , then

(i) $\delta gpN(x) \neq \phi$.

(ii) If $A \in \delta gpN(x)$, then $x \in A$.

(iii) If $A \in \delta gpN(x)$ and $B \supseteq A$, then $B \in \delta gpN(x)$.

(iv) If $A_\lambda \in \delta gpN(x)$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\bigcup A_\lambda \in \delta gpN(x)$.

Proof: (i) Since $X \in \delta gpN(x)$, $\delta gpN(x) \neq \emptyset$.

(ii) Let $A \in \delta gpN(x)$, then there exists a δgp -open set G such that $x \in G \subseteq A$. This implies $x \in A$.

(iii) Let $A \in \delta gpN(x)$, then there exists a δgp -open set G such that $x \in G \subseteq A$. Since $A \subseteq B$, then $x \in G \subseteq B$. This shows $B \in \delta gpN(x)$.

(iv) Since for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, A_λ is δgp -nbhd of x , then there exists a δgp -open set G_λ such that $x \in G_\lambda \subseteq A_\lambda$. Which implies that $x \in G_\lambda \subseteq \bigcup A_\lambda$ and hence $\bigcup A_\lambda \in \delta gpN(x)$.

Remark 6.8 Intesection of δgp -nbhd of $x \in X$ need not be a δgp -nbhd of that point, as seen from the following example.

Example 6.9 In Example 3.22, the subsets $\{a, c, e\}$ and $\{b, d, e\}$ are δgp -nbhd of $e \in X$, but their intersection $\{a, c, e\} \cap \{b, d, e\} = \{e\}$ is not δgp -nbhd of e , as there is no δgp -open set G such that $e \in G \subseteq \{e\}$.

Definition 6.10 Let A be a subset of a topological space X . Then the δgp closure of A , denoted by $\delta gpCl(A)$ is defined to be the intersection of all δgp -closed sets containing A .

Theorem 6.11 Let A and B be subsets of a topological space X . Then

(i) $\delta gpCl(X) = X$ and $\delta gpCl(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

(ii) If $A \subseteq B$, then $\delta gpCl(A) \subseteq \delta gpCl(B)$.

(iii) $\delta gpCl(A) \cup \delta gpCl(B) \subseteq \delta gpCl(A \cup B)$.

(iv) $\delta gpCl(A \cap B) \subseteq \delta gpCl(A) \cap \delta gpCl(B)$.

(v) If A is δgp closed, then $\delta gpCl(A) = A$.

(vi) $\delta gpCl(\delta gpCl(A)) = \delta gpCl(A)$.

(vii) $A \subseteq \delta gpCl(A) \subseteq pcl(A)$.

Proof: The easy verification is omitted.

Remark 6.12 The equalities do not hold in results (iii) and (iv). Also converse of (v) need not be true in general as seen from the following examples.

Example 6.13 (iii) In Example 3.22, let $A = \{a\}$ and $B = \{b\}$. Then $\delta gpCl(A) = \{a\}$, $\delta gpCl(B) = \{b\}$ and $\delta gpCl(A \cup B) = \{a, b, e\}$.

Thus we have $\delta gpCl(A \cup B) = \{a, b, e\} \neq \{a, b\} = \delta gpCl(A) \cup \delta gpCl(B)$.

(iv) Consider $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with the topology $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$, let $A = \{b\}$ and $B = \{c\}$. Then $\delta gpCl(A) = \{b, d\}$, $\delta gpCl(B) = \{c, d\}$ and $\delta gpCl(A \cap B) = \emptyset$. Thus we have $\delta gpCl(A \cap B) = \emptyset \neq \{d\} = \delta gpCl(A) \cap \delta gpCl(B)$.

(v) In Example 3.4, let $A = \{a\}$. Then $\delta gpCl(A) = A$. But it is not a δgp -closed set in X .

Theorem 6.14 *Let A be a subset of a space X . Then $x \in \delta gpCl(A)$ if and only if $U \cap A \neq \phi$, for every δgp -open set U containing x .*

Proof: *Let $x \in \delta gpCl(A)$. Suppose that there exists a δgp -open set U containing x such that $U \cap A = \phi$, then $A \subseteq X - U$ and $X - U$ is δgp -closed. Therefore $\delta gpCl(A) \subseteq X - U$, which implies $x \notin \delta gpCl(A)$, a contradiction.*

Conversely, suppose that $x \notin \delta gpCl(A)$. Then there exists a δgp -closed set F containing A such that $x \notin F$. Hence F^C is a δgp -open set containing x .

Therefore $F^C \cap A = \phi$, which contradicts the hypothesis.

Definition 6.15 *Let A be a subset of a topological space X . Then the δgp interior of A , denoted by $\delta gpInt(A)$ is defined to be the union of all δgp -open sets contained in A .*

Theorem 6.16 *Let A and B be subsets of a space X . Then*

- (i) $\delta gpInt(X) = X$ and $\delta gpInt(\Phi) = \Phi$.
- (ii) If $A \subseteq B$, then $\delta gpInt(A) \subseteq \delta gpInt(B)$.
- (iii) $\delta gpInt(A) \cup \delta gpInt(B) \subseteq \delta gpInt(A \cup B)$.
- (iv) $\delta gpInt(A \cap B) \subseteq \delta gpInt(A) \cap \delta gpInt(B)$.
- (v) If A is δgp open, then $\delta gpInt(A) = A$.
- (vi) $\delta gpInt(\delta gpInt(A)) = \delta gpInt(A)$.

Proof: *The easy verification is omitted.*

References

- [1] A. Al-Omari and M.S. Noorani, On generalized b-closed sets, *Bull. Malays. Sci. Soc.*, **32** (2009), 19-30.
- [2] D. Andrijivic, On b-open sets, *Mat. Vesnic*, **48** (1996), 59-64.
- [3] S.S. Benchalli and Umadevi Neeli, Generalized δ semi closed sets in topological spaces, *International Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **24** (2011), 21-38.
- [4] M. Caldas and S. Jafari, On δD -sets and associated weak separation axioms, *Bull. Malays. Sci. Soc.*, Second Series, **25** (2002), 173-185.
- [5] J. Cao, M. Ganster and I. Reilly, On preclosed sets their generalizations, *Houston J. Math.*, **28** (2002), 771-780.
- [6] J. Dontchev and M. Ganster, On δ -generalized sets and $T_{3/4}$ -spaces, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Uni. Ser. A. Math.*, **17** (1996), 15-31.
- [7] Y. Gnanambal, On generalized pre-regular closed sets in topological spaces, *Indian. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **28** (1997), 351-360.

- [8] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, *Ren. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, **19** (1970), 89-96. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf02843888>
- [9] H. Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran, Generalized α -closed sets in topology, *Bull. Fukuoka Univ., Ed., Part III*, **42** (1993), 13-21.
- [10] H. Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran, Associate topologies of generalized α -closed sets and α -Generalized Closed Sets, *Mem. Fac. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math.*, **15** (1994), 51-63.
- [11] H. Maki, J. Umehara and T. Noiri, Every topological space is pre- $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$, *Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Math.*, **17** (1996), 33-42.
- [12] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. EL-Deeb, On pre-continuous and weak pre continuous mappings, *Proc. Math and Phys. Soc. Egypt*, **53** (1982), 47-53.
- [13] O. Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific. J. Math.*, **15** (1965), 961-970. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1965.15.961>
- [14] M. Stone, Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **41** (1937), 375-481. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9947-1937-1501905-7>
- [15] N.V. Veliko, H-closed topological spaces, *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.*, **78** (1968), 103-118.

Received: April 12, 2016; Published: July 7, 2016