A Note on Cauchy Integrability

Scott Schneider

Department of Mathematics
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1043, USA

Copyright © 2014 Scott Schneider. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

We show that for any bounded function $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is a partition P of $[a, b]$ with respect to which the Riemann sum of f using right endpoints is within ϵ of the upper Darboux sum of f . This leads to an elementary proof of the theorem of Gillespie [3] showing that Cauchy's and Riemann's definitions of integrability coincide.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 26A42, 97I50

Keywords: Cauchy integral, Riemann integral, endpoint Riemann sums

1 Introduction

A natural reaction to Riemann's definition of integrability is to wonder how far it can be simplified, and many of the resulting questions can reasonably be asked of undergraduates in a first course on real analysis. For instance, it is relatively easy to show that altering his definition by allowing only regular partitions or by allowing only endpoints as sample points does not enlarge the class of integrable functions, but that making both restrictions at once does. On the other hand it appears to be less widely known, and to be somewhat trickier to show using elementary methods, that for bounded functions allowing only *left* endpoints as sample points does not enlarge the class of integrable functions. This was first shown in 1915 by Gillespie [3] using oscillation and measure, and was later reproved by Kristensen, Poulsen, and Reich [4] with a more elementary argument that could be presented to undergraduates or

assigned to them as independent study. In this note we give another elementary proof of Gillespie's result using a lemma (3.1 below) that may be of independent interest. Our proof avoids measure theory and requires only basic facts about the Darboux integral.

What we call *left-endpoint Riemann integrability* below is in fact the definition of integrability that Cauchy [1] originally gave for (continuous) functions in 1823. The papers [3] and [4] use left endpoints, following Cauchy, but here we use right endpoints instead; since $f(x)$ could always be replaced by $f(-x)$, the difference is inconsequential.

2 Notation

Let f be a real-valued function on the interval $[a, b]$. We write

$$R(f, P, \{x_k^*\}) := \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k^*)(x_k - x_{k-1})$$

for the Riemann sum of f on $[a, b]$ using the partition $P = \{x_k\}_{k=0}^n$ of $[a, b]$ and sample points $x_k^* \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$. We write $R(f, P, \text{r.e.})$ for the Riemann sum that uses right endpoints $x_k^* = x_k$ as sample points in each subinterval. The function f is *Riemann integrable* on $[a, b]$ if there is $L \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for all partitions $P = \{x_k\}_{k=0}^n$ of $[a, b]$ with mesh $\|P\| := \max\{x_k - x_{k-1} : 1 \leq k \leq n\} < \delta$ and for all choices $\{x_k^*\}$ of sample points relative to P , $|R(f, P, \{x_k^*\}) - L| < \epsilon$. We say that f is *right-endpoint Riemann integrable* if the same holds when restricting to right-endpoint Riemann sums, and define *left-endpoint Riemann integrability* analogously.

If f is bounded, we write

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) &:= \sum_{k=1}^n (\sup(f, [x_{k-1}, x_k])) \cdot (x_k - x_{k-1}), \\ L(f, P) &:= \sum_{k=1}^n (\inf(f, [x_{k-1}, x_k])) \cdot (x_k - x_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for the upper and lower Darboux sums of f on $[a, b]$ relative to the partition P , and

$$\begin{aligned} U(f) &:= \inf\{U(f, P) : P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\}, \\ L(f) &:= \sup\{L(f, P) : P \text{ is a partition of } [a, b]\} \end{aligned}$$

for the upper and lower Darboux integrals of f on $[a, b]$. The function f is Darboux integrable if $U(f) = L(f)$ by definition, and Riemann integrable if and only if Darboux integrable by the well-known correspondence.

3 The Proof

Lemma 3.1. *If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a partition Q of $[a, b]$ such that $U(f, Q) - R(f, Q, \text{r.e.}) < \epsilon$.*

Proof. Fix B such that $\sup(f, [a, b]) - \inf(f, [a, b]) \leq B$, and let $\epsilon > 0$. Define $g : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(x) = \sup(f, [x, b])$, so that g is decreasing, and hence Riemann integrable, on $[a, b]$, say with value $L = \int_a^b g$. Fix $\delta_1 > 0$ such that for any partition P of $[a, b]$ with $\|P\| < \delta_1$ and for any choice x_k^* of sample points relative to P , $|R(g, P, \{x_k^*\}) - L| < \epsilon$. Let $\delta_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{B}$, and set $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Let $P = \{x_k\}_{k=0}^n$ be a partition of $[a, b]$ with $\|P\| < \frac{\delta}{2}$. We will use P in order to obtain the desired partition Q . Let

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \{1 \leq k \leq n : g(x_{k-1}) = g(x_k)\}; \\ D &= \{1 \leq k \leq n : g(x_{k-1}) > g(x_k)\}; \\ D' &= \{k \in D \setminus \{n\} : k+1 \notin D\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each $k \in D'$ let $z_k = \inf\{x \in [x_{k-1}, x_k] : g(x) = g(x_k)\}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} D'_0 &= \{k \in D' : g(z_k) = g(x_k)\}; \\ D'_1 &= \{k \in D' : g(z_k) > g(x_k)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For each $k \in D$, choose $y_k \in [x_{k-1}, x_k]$ such that $|f(y_k) - g(x_{k-1})| < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}$, where additionally if $k \in D'_0$ then $y_k < z_k$, and if $k \in D'_1$ then $y_k \leq z_k$. Also for each $k \in D'$ choose an additional point in $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ as follows. If $k \in D'_0$, choose $u_k \in (y_k, z_k)$ such that $|u_k - z_k| < \frac{\epsilon}{B|D'|}$ and $|f(u_k) - g(u_k)| < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}$; if $k \in D'_1$, choose $v_k \in (z_k, x_k)$ such that $|v_k - z_k| < \frac{\epsilon}{B|D'|}$. Now let

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0 &= \{a, b\}; \\ Q_1 &= \{y_k : k \in D \wedge k-1 \notin D\}; \\ Q_2 &= \{z_k : k \in D'_0\} \cup \{v_k : k \in D'_1\}; \\ Q_3 &= \{z_k : k \in D'_1 \wedge z_k \neq y_k\} \cup \{u_k : k \in D'_0\} \cup \{y_k : k, k-1 \in D\}; \\ Q &= Q_0 \cup Q_1 \cup Q_2 \cup Q_3. \end{aligned}$$

We show that $U(f, Q) - R(f, Q, \text{r.e.}) < 6\epsilon$.

For each $q \in Q$, let $q' = q$ if $q = a$ and otherwise let q' be the largest element of Q strictly less than q . For $q \in Q$ write

$$E_q := (\sup(f, [q', q]) - f(q))(q - q'),$$

so that

$$U(f, Q) - R(f, Q, \text{r.e.}) = \sum_{q \in Q} E_q \leq \sum_{i=0}^3 \left(\sum_{q \in Q_i} E_q \right).$$

We now bound the sums $\sum_{q \in Q_i} E_q$ for each $i \leq 3$.

First note that if $g(x_{n-1}) = g(x_n)$ then $\sup(f, [b', b]) = f(b)$ and hence $E_b = 0$. On the other hand if $g(x_{n-1}) > g(x_n)$ then $n \in D$, so $b' \in [x_{n-1}, b)$ and hence $E_b < \frac{B\delta}{2}$. Since $E_a = 0$, we get

$$\sum_{q \in Q_0} E_q < \frac{B\delta}{2} < \epsilon.$$

Next notice that if $q \in Q_1$ then $q' = a$ or $q' \in Q_2$. In either case $\sup(f, [q', q]) - f(q) < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}$, and therefore

$$\sum_{q \in Q_1} E_q < \epsilon.$$

If $q \in Q_2$ then $q - q' < \frac{\epsilon}{B|D'|}$, and since $|Q_2| \leq |D'|$ this implies

$$\sum_{q \in Q_2} E_q < B|D'| \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{B|D'|} = \epsilon.$$

Finally, suppose $q \in Q_3$. If $q = z_k \neq y_k$ where $k \in D'_1$, then $g(q) = f(q)$ and $q' = y_k$ so $q - q' < \frac{\delta}{2}$. If $q = u_k$ where $k \in D'_0$, then $|f(q) - g(q)| < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}$ and $q' = y_k$ so again $q - q' < \frac{\delta}{2}$. Finally if $q = y_k$ where $k, k-1 \in D$, then

$$g(x_{k-1}) - \frac{\epsilon}{b-a} \leq f(q) \leq g(q) \leq g(x_{k-1})$$

and $q' = y_{k-1}$ so $q - q' < \delta$. Thus in all cases $q - q' < \delta \leq \delta_1$ and $|f(q) - g(q)| < \frac{\epsilon}{b-a}$. Let now Q' be any partition of $[a, b]$ that extends $Q_3 \cup \{q' : q \in Q_3\}$, satisfies $\|Q'\| < \delta_1$, and has no points in the intervals (q', q) for $q \in Q_3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q \in Q_3} E_q &= \sum_{q \in Q_3} (\sup(f, [q', q]) - f(q))(q - q') \\ &\leq \sum_{q \in Q_3} (g(q') - f(q))(q - q') \\ &< \epsilon + \sum_{q \in Q_3} (g(q') - g(q))(q - q') \\ &\leq \epsilon + \sum_{q \in Q'} (g(q') - g(q))(q - q') \\ &= \epsilon + \sum_{q \in Q'} g(q')(q - q') - \sum_{q \in Q'} g(q)(q - q') < 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates we have

$$U(f, Q) - R(f, Q, \text{r.e.}) = \sum_{q \in Q} E_q < 6\epsilon,$$

and since ϵ was arbitrary this completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 3.2. *If $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there is a partition Q of $[a, b]$ such that $R(f, Q, \text{r.e.}) - L(f, Q) < \epsilon$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 3.1 to $-f$. □

Theorem 3.3 (Gillespie, [3]). *Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. If f is right-endpoint Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$, then f is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$.*

Proof. Let L be the limiting value of the right-endpoint Riemann sums of f on $[a, b]$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary, and fix $\delta > 0$ such that for all partitions P of $[a, b]$ with $\|P\| < \delta$, $|R(f, P, \text{r.e.}) - L| < \epsilon$. Let $P = \{x_k\}_{k=0}^n$ be a partition of $[a, b]$ with $\|P\| < \delta$. Using Lemma 3.1 and Corollary 3.2, for each $1 \leq k \leq n$ choose partitions Q_k^U and Q_k^L of $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$ such that on $[x_{k-1}, x_k]$,

$$U(f, Q_k^U) - R(f, Q_k^U, \text{r.e.}) < \frac{\epsilon}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad R(f, Q_k^L, \text{r.e.}) - L(f, Q_k^L) < \frac{\epsilon}{n}.$$

Let $Q^U = \cup_k Q_k^U$ and $Q^L = \cup_k Q_k^L$. Then

$$U(f, Q^U) - R(f, Q^U, \text{r.e.}) < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad R(f, Q^L, \text{r.e.}) - L(f, Q^L) < \epsilon.$$

Since $\|Q^U\|, \|Q^L\| < \delta$, we have

$$|R(f, Q^U, \text{r.e.}) - L| < \epsilon \quad \text{and} \quad |R(f, Q^L, \text{r.e.}) - L| < \epsilon.$$

Thus

$$U(f, Q^U) - L(f, Q^L) < 4\epsilon,$$

which implies $U(f) - L(f) < 4\epsilon$. Since ϵ was arbitrary, it follows that f is Darboux integrable on $[a, b]$, and therefore Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$. □

Remark 3.4. The assumption of boundedness in Proposition 3.3 is necessary, but in a benign way. For instance, one easily checks that the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^{-1/2} & \text{if } x > 0; \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

is right-endpoint Riemann integrable on $[0, 1]$ even though it is unbounded. However, it is easy to show that if $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is right-endpoint Riemann integrable with limit L , then f is Riemann integrable (in particular, bounded) on $[c, b]$ for any $a < c < b$, and

$$\lim_{c \rightarrow a^+} \int_c^b f(x) dx = L.$$

Remark 3.5. Dantoni [2] and the authors of [4] independently proved stronger results using oscillation and measure. Let f be a bounded function on $[a, b]$ and ψ a function with domain $\{(x, y) : a \leq x \leq y \leq b\}$ such that $x \leq \psi(x, y) \leq y$ for all x, y . Call f ψ -integrable if the Riemann sums $\sum f(\psi(x_{k-1}, x_k))(x_k - x_{k-1})$ converge to a common limit. In [4] the authors establish sufficient conditions on ψ , and in [2] Dantoni establishes necessary and sufficient conditions on ψ , for every bounded function f on $[a, b]$ to be ψ -integrable; in particular both papers show that continuity of ψ suffices, generalizing Gillespie's result.

References

- [1] A.-L. Cauchy, Résumé des Leçons sur le Calcul Infinitesimal (1823), p. 81.
- [2] G. Dantoni, Sul confronto di alcune definizioni di integrale definito, *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, **19** (1932), 29 - 37.
- [3] D.C. Gillespie, The Cauchy definition of a definite integral, *Annals of Mathematics* (2) **17** (1915), 61 - 63.
- [4] E. Kristensen, E.T. Poulsen, and E. Reich, A characterization of Riemann-integrability, *American Mathematical Monthly*, **69** No. 6 (1962), 498 - 505.

Received: September 24, 2014; Published: November 3, 2014