

Direct Product of BF-Algebras

Randy C. Teves and Joemar C. Endam

Department of Mathematics
College of Arts and Sciences
Negros Oriental State University
Dumaguete City 6200, Philippines

Copyright © 2016 Randy C. Teves and Joemar C. Endam. This article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the direct product of BF-algebras and we obtain some properties of this concept.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35

Keywords: BF-algebras, direct product of BF-algebras, normal ideal, BF-homomorphism

1 Introduction

In 2007, the concept of BF-algebras was introduced by A. Walendziak [3]. A BF-*algebra* is an algebra $\mathbf{A} = (A; *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$, that is, a nonempty set A together with a binary operation $*$ and a constant 0 , satisfying the following axioms for all $x, y \in A$:

$$(B1) \quad x * x = 0,$$

$$(B2) \quad x * 0 = x,$$

$$(BF) \quad 0 * (x * y) = y * x.$$

In [3], Walendziak also introduced the notion of commutativity of BF-algebras. A BF-algebra \mathbf{A} is *commutative* if $x*(0*y) = y*(0*x)$ for all $x, y \in A$. In 2011, J.C. Endam and J.P. Vilela [2] characterized the commutativity of BF-algebras

and established the relationship of BF-algebras and groups. Walendziak also introduced the notions of subalgebras, ideals, and normality in BF-algebras, and established their properties. A subset I of A is called an *ideal* of \mathbf{A} if it satisfies the following for all $x, y \in A$:

(I1) $0 \in I$,

(I2) $x * y \in I$ and $y \in I$ imply $x \in I$.

We say that an ideal I is *normal* if for any $x, y, z \in A$, $x * y \in I$ implies $(z * x) * (z * y) \in I$. A nonzero ideal I of \mathbf{A} is said to be *proper* if $I \neq A$. A nonempty subset N of A is called a *subalgebra* of \mathbf{A} if $x * y \in N$ for any $x, y \in N$. It is known that an ideal need not be a subalgebra, and a subalgebra need not be an ideal. While a normal ideal is a subalgebra. Walendziak then used the concept of normality of BF-algebras to construct quotient BF-algebras. That is, given a normal ideal I of a BF-algebra \mathbf{A} , the relation \sim_I is defined by $x \sim_I y$ if and only if $x * y \in I$ for any $x, y \in A$. Then \sim_I is a congruence relation of \mathbf{A} . For $x \in A$, write x/I for the congruence class containing x , that is, $x/I = \{y \in A : x \sim_I y\}$. We denote $A/I = \{x/I : x \in A\}$ and define $*$ ' by $x/I *' y/I = (x * y)/I$. Note that $x/I = y/I$ if and only if $x \sim_I y$. Then the algebra $\mathbf{A}/I = (A/I; *', 0/I)$ is a BF-algebra. The algebra \mathbf{A}/I is called the *quotient BF-algebra* of \mathbf{A} modulo I . The concept of BF-homomorphism was also introduced by A. Walendziak. A map $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ is called a *BF-homomorphism* if $\varphi(x * y) = \varphi(x) * \varphi(y)$ for any $x, y \in A$. The *kernel* of φ , denoted by $\ker \varphi$, is defined to be the set $\{x \in A : \varphi(x) = 0_B\}$. A BF-homomorphism φ is called a *BF-monomorphism*, *BF-epimorphism*, or *BF-isomorphism* if φ is one-one, onto, or a bijection, respectively. In [3], A. Walendziak established the first isomorphism theorem for BF-algebras. In [1], J.C. Endam and J.P. Vilela established the second and third isomorphism theorems for BF-algebras. In this paper, we introduced the direct product of BF-algebras and established some of its properties.

2 Direct Product of BF-algebras

We begin with some examples of BF-algebras.

Example 2.1 [3] Let \mathbb{R} be the set of real numbers and let $\mathbf{A} = (\mathbb{R}; *, 0)$ be the algebra with the operation $*$ defined by

$$x * y = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } y = 0, \\ y & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then \mathbf{A} is a BF-algebra.

Example 2.2 [3] Let $A = [0, \infty) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x \geq 0\}$. Define the binary operation $*$ on A as follows: $x * y = |x - y|$ for all $x, y \in A$. Then $(A; *, 0)$ is a BF-algebra.

Example 2.3 [3] Let $A = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $*$ be defined by the following table:

$*$	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	0
2	2	3	0	2
3	3	0	2	0

Then $(A; *, 0)$ is a BF-algebra.

Let $\mathbf{A} = (A; *, 0_A)$ and $\mathbf{B} = (B; *, 0_B)$ be BF-algebras. Define the direct product of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} to be the structure $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = (A \times B; \otimes, (0_A, 0_B))$, where $A \times B$ is the set $\{(a, b) : a \in A \text{ and } b \in B\}$ and whose binary operation \otimes is given by $(a_1, b_1) \otimes (a_2, b_2) = (a_1 * a_2, b_1 * b_2)$. Note that the binary operation \otimes is componentwise. Thus, the properties (B1), (B2), and (BF) of $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}$ follow from those of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} . Hence, the following theorem easily follows.

Theorem 2.4 *The direct product of two BF-algebras is also a BF-algebra.*

Now, we extend this direct product to any finite family of BF-algebras. Let $I_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and let $\{\mathbf{A}_i = (A_i; *, 0_i) : i \in I_n\}$ be a finite family of BF-algebras. Define the direct product of BF-algebras $\mathbf{A}_1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_n$ to be the structure $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{A}_i = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n A_i; \otimes, (0_1, \dots, 0_n) \right)$, where

$$\prod_{i=1}^n A_i = A_1 \times \dots \times A_n = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) : a_i \in A_i, i \in I_n\}$$

and whose operation \otimes is given by

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n) = (a_1 * b_1, \dots, a_n * b_n).$$

Obviously, \otimes is a binary operation on $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{A}_i$.

Remark 2.5 *If $\{\mathbf{A}_i = (A_i; *, 0_i) : i \in I_n\}$ is a family of BF-algebras, then $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{A}_i$ is a BF-algebra.*

Lemma 2.6 *Let $\{\mathbf{A}_i = (A_i; *, 0_i) : i \in I_n\}$ be a family of BF-algebras. Then each \mathbf{A}_i is commutative if and only if $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{A}_i$ is commutative.*

Proof: Let each \mathbf{A}_i be commutative. If $(a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_i, b_i \in A_i \text{ and } a_i * (0_i * b_i) &= b_i * (0_i * a_i) \text{ for all } i \in I_n. \text{ Thus,} \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes ((0_1, \dots, 0_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n)) &= (a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes (0_1 * b_1, \dots, 0_n * b_n) \\ &= (a_1 * (0_1 * b_1), \dots, a_n * (0_n * b_n)) \\ &= (b_1 * (0_1 * a_1), \dots, b_n * (0_n * a_n)) \\ &= (b_1, \dots, b_n) \otimes (0_1 * a_1, \dots, 0_n * a_n) \\ &= (b_1, \dots, b_n) \otimes ((0_1, \dots, 0_n) \otimes (a_1, \dots, a_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{A}_i$ is commutative.

Conversely, let $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{A}_i$ be commutative. If $a_i, b_i \in A_i$ for all $i \in I_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n) &\in \prod_{i=1}^n A_i \text{ and} \\ (a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes ((0_1, \dots, 0_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n)) &= (b_1, \dots, b_n) \otimes ((0_1, \dots, 0_n) \otimes (a_1, \dots, a_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1 * (0_1 * b_1), \dots, a_n * (0_n * b_n)) &= (a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes (0_1 * b_1, \dots, 0_n * b_n) \\ &= (a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes ((0_1, \dots, 0_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n)) \\ &= (b_1, \dots, b_n) \otimes ((0_1, \dots, 0_n) \otimes (a_1, \dots, a_n)) \\ &= (b_1, \dots, b_n) \otimes (0_1 * a_1, \dots, 0_n * a_n) \\ &= (b_1 * (0_1 * a_1), \dots, b_n * (0_n * a_n)). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $a_i * (0_i * b_i) = b_i * (0_i * a_i)$ for all $i \in I_n$. Therefore, each \mathbf{A}_i is commutative. \square

Theorem 2.7 *Let $\{\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i : i \in I_n\}$ be a family of BF-homomorphisms. If φ is the map $\prod_{i=1}^n A_i \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n B_i$ given by $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (\varphi_1(a_1), \dots, \varphi_n(a_n))$,*

then φ is a BF-homomorphism with $\ker \varphi = \prod_{i=1}^n \ker \varphi_i$, $\varphi(\prod_{i=1}^n A_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(A_i)$.

Furthermore, φ is a BF-monomorphism (respectively, BF-epimorphism) if and only if φ_i is.

Proof: Let $\{\varphi_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i : i \in I_n\}$ be a family of BF-homomorphisms and let φ be the map $\prod_{i=1}^n A_i \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n B_i$ given by $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (\varphi_1(a_1), \dots, \varphi_n(a_n))$.

If $(a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n)) &= \varphi((a_1 * b_1, \dots, a_n * b_n)) \\ &= (\varphi_1(a_1 * b_1), \dots, \varphi_n(a_n * b_n)) \\ &= (\varphi_1(a_1) * \varphi_1(b_1), \dots, \varphi_n(a_n) * \varphi_n(b_n)) \\ &= (\varphi_1(a_1), \dots, \varphi_n(a_n)) \otimes (\varphi_1(b_1), \dots, \varphi_n(b_n)) \\ &= \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)) \otimes \varphi((b_1, \dots, b_n)). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that φ is a BF-homomorphism. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \ker \varphi &\Leftrightarrow \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)) = (0_1, \dots, 0_n) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\varphi_1(a_1), \dots, \varphi_n(a_n)) = (0_1, \dots, 0_n) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \varphi_i(a_i) = 0_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n \\ &\Leftrightarrow a_i \in \ker \varphi_i \text{ for each } i \in I_n \\ &\Leftrightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n \ker \varphi_i. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\ker \varphi = \prod_{i=1}^n \ker \varphi_i$. Let $A = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \varphi(A) &\Leftrightarrow \exists (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A \ni (b_1, \dots, b_n) = \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A \ni (b_1, \dots, b_n) = (\varphi_1(a_1), \dots, \varphi_n(a_n)) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists a_i \in A_i \ni b_i = \varphi_i(a_i) \in \varphi(A_i) \text{ for each } i \in I_n \\ &\Leftrightarrow (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(A_i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\varphi(\prod_{i=1}^n A_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i(A_i)$.

To prove the last statement, let φ be one-to-one. If $\varphi_i(a_i) = \varphi(b_i)$ for each $i \in I_n$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)) &= (\varphi_1(a_1), \dots, \varphi_n(a_n)) \\ &= (\varphi_1(b_1), \dots, \varphi_n(b_n)) \\ &= \varphi((b_1, \dots, b_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Since φ is one-to-one, $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, that is, $a_i = b_i$ for each $i \in I_n$. Therefore, φ_i is one-to-one for each $i \in I_n$. Conversely, let φ_i be

one-to-one for each $i \in I_n$. If $\varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \varphi((b_1, \dots, b_n))$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_1(a_1), \dots, \varphi_n(a_n)) &= \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)) \\ &= \varphi((b_1, \dots, b_n)) \\ &= (\varphi_1(b_1), \dots, \varphi_n(b_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\varphi_i(a_i) = \varphi_i(b_i)$ for each $i \in I_n$. Since each φ_i is one-to-one, $a_i = b_i$ for each $i \in I_n$ and so $(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$. Therefore, φ is one-to-one.

Finally, we show that φ is onto if and only if each φ_i is. Let φ be onto. If $b_i \in B_i$ for each $i \in I_n$, then $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n B_i$. Since φ is onto, there exists

$$(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n A_i \text{ such that}$$

$$(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)) = (\varphi_1(a_1), \dots, \varphi_n(a_n)),$$

that is, $b_i = \varphi_i(a_i)$ for each $i \in I_n$. Therefore, φ_i is onto for each $i \in I_n$.

Conversely, let φ_i be onto for each $i \in I_n$. If $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n B_i$, then $b_i \in B_i$ for each $i \in I_n$. Since each φ_i is onto, there exists $a_i \in A_i$ such that $b_i = \varphi_i(a_i)$ for each $i \in I_n$ so that

$$(b_1, \dots, b_n) = (\varphi_1(a_1), \dots, \varphi_n(a_n)) = \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)).$$

Therefore, φ is onto and so the theorem is finally proved. \square

Remark 2.8 Let $\{\mathbf{A}_i = (A_i; *, 0_i) : i \in I_n\}$, $\{\mathbf{B}_i = (B_i; *, 0_i) : i \in I_n\}$ be any two families of BF-algebras such that $A_i \cong B_i$ for each $i \in I_n$. Then $\prod_{i=1}^n A_i \cong \prod_{i=1}^n B_i$.

Theorem 2.9 Let $\{\mathbf{A}_i = (A_i; *, 0_i) : i \in I_n\}$ be a family of BF-algebras and let J_i be a normal ideal of \mathbf{A}_i for each $i \in I_n$. Then $\prod_{i=1}^n J_i$ is a normal ideal

$$\text{of } \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{A}_i \text{ and } \prod_{i=1}^n A_i / \prod_{i=1}^n J_i \cong \prod_{i=1}^n (A_i / J_i).$$

Proof: Let $\{\mathbf{A}_i = (A_i; *, 0_i) : i \in I_n\}$ be a family of BF-algebras and let J_i be a normal ideal of \mathbf{A}_i for each $i \in I_n$. Then $(0_1, \dots, 0_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n J_i$ since $0_i \in J_i$ for

each $i \in I_n$ and so $\prod_{i=1}^n J_i$ is not empty. Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n), (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$.

If $(b_1, \dots, b_n), (a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n J_i$, then $b_i \in J_i$ for each $i \in I_n$ and $(a_1 * b_1, \dots, a_n * b_n) = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n J_i$ implies that $a_i * b_i \in J_i$ for each $i \in I_n$. By (I2), $a_i \in J_i$ for each $i \in I_n$ and so (a_1, \dots, a_n) is an element of $\prod_{i=1}^n J_i$. Thus, $\prod_{i=1}^n J_i$ is an ideal of $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{A}_i$.

Let $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$. If $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n J_i$, then $(a_1 * b_1, \dots, a_n * b_n) = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n J_i$ so that $a_i * b_i \in J_i$ for each $i \in I_n$ and so $(c_i * a_i) * (c_i * b_i) \in J_i$ for each $i \in I_n$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} ((c_1, \dots, c_n) \otimes (a_1, \dots, a_n)) \otimes ((c_1, \dots, c_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n)) \\ = (c_1 * a_1, \dots, c_n * a_n) \otimes (c_1 * b_1, \dots, c_n * b_n) \\ = ((c_1 * a_1) * (c_1 * b_1), \dots, (c_n * a_n) * (c_n * b_n)) \in \prod_{i=1}^n J_i. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\prod_{i=1}^n J_i$ is a normal ideal of $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathbf{A}_i$.

For simplicity, let $J = \prod_{i=1}^n J_i$ and $A = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$. Define $\varphi: A/J \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n (A_i/J_i)$ given by $\varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)/J) = (a_1/J_1, \dots, a_n/J_n)$ for all $(a_1, \dots, a_n)/J \in A/J$. Let $(a_1, \dots, a_n)/J, (b_1, \dots, b_n)/J \in A/J$. If $(a_1, \dots, a_n)/J = (b_1, \dots, b_n)/J$, then $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \sim_J (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, that is,

$$(a_1 * b_1, \dots, a_n * b_n) = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in J.$$

Thus, $a_i * b_i \in J_i$ for all $i \in I_n$, that is, $a_i \sim_{J_i} b_i$ so that $a_i/J_i = b_i/J_i$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)/J) &= (a_1/J_1, \dots, a_n/J_n) \\ &= (b_1/J_1, \dots, b_n/J_n) \\ &= \varphi((b_1, \dots, b_n)/J). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that φ is well-defined. If $(a_1, \dots, a_n)/J, (b_1, \dots, b_n)/J \in A/J$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)/J *' (b_1, \dots, b_n)/J) &= \varphi(((a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n))/J) \\ &= \varphi((a_1 * b_1, \dots, a_n * b_n)/J) \\ &= ((a_1 * b_1)/J_1, \dots, (a_n * b_n)/J_n) \\ &= (a_1/J_1 *' b_1/J_1, \dots, a_n/J_n *' b_n/J_n) \\ &= (a_1/J_1, \dots, a_n/J_n) \otimes (b_1/J_1, \dots, b_n/J_n) \\ &= \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)/J) \otimes \varphi((b_1, \dots, b_n)/J). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that φ is a BF-homomorphism.

If $\varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)/J) = \varphi((b_1, \dots, b_n)/J)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1/J_1, \dots, a_n/J_n) &= \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)/J) \\ &= \varphi((b_1, \dots, b_n)/J) \\ &= (b_1/J_1, \dots, b_n/J_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $a_i/J_i = b_i/J_i$ for all $i \in I_n$. Hence, $a_i \sim_{J_i} b_i$, that is, $a_i * b_i \in J_i$ for all $i \in I_n$ so that $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes (b_1, \dots, b_n) = (a_1 * b_1, \dots, a_n * b_n) \in J$. Thus, $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \sim_J (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ and so $(a_1, \dots, a_n)/J = (b_1, \dots, b_n)/J$. This shows that φ is one-to-one.

If $(a_1/J_1, \dots, a_n/J_n) \in \prod_{i=1}^n (A_i/J_i)$, then $a_i \in A_i$ for all $i \in I_n$, that is, $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A$. It follows that $(a_1/J_1, \dots, a_n/J_n) = \varphi((a_1, \dots, a_n)/J)$, where $(a_1, \dots, a_n)/J \in A/J$. This shows that φ is onto. Therefore, φ is a BF-isomorphism, that is, $\prod_{i=1}^n A_i / \prod_{i=1}^n J_i \cong \prod_{i=1}^n (A_i/J_i)$. \square

References

- [1] J.C. Endam and J.P. Vilela, Homomorphism of BF-algebras, *Mathematica Slovaca*, **64** (2014), no. 1, 13-20. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/s12175-013-0182-6>
- [2] J.C. Endam and J.P. Vilela, The Relationship of BF-algebras and groups, *Mindanaoan Journal of Mathematics*, **1** (2011), 69-74.
- [3] A. Walendziak, On BF-algebras, *Mathematica Slovaca*, **57** (2007), 119-128. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2478/s12175-007-0003-x>

Received: February 3, 2016; Published: April 12, 2016